

**NETWORKS OF TRADE
IN RAW MATERIALS AND
TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS
IN PREHISTORY AND
PROTOHISTORY**

AN ARCHAEOOMETRY APPROACH

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE XVII UISPP WORLD CONGRESS
(1–7 SEPTEMBER 2014, BURGOS, SPAIN)**

Volume 12/Session B34

Edited by

**Davide Delfino, Paolo Piccardo,
and João Carlos Baptista**

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

Gordon House
276 Banbury Road
Oxford OX2 7ED

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 423 3
ISBN 978 1 78491 424-0 (e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress, UISPP and authors 2016

VOLUME EDITORS: Davide Delfino, Paolo Piccardo, João Carlos Baptista

SERIES EDITOR: The board of UISPP

SERIES PROPERTY: UISPP – International Union of Prehistoric and Protohistoric Sciences

The editing of this volume was funded by the Instituto Terra e Memória, Centro de Geociências UID/Multi/00073/2013, with the support of the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia FCT/MEC

KEY-WORDS IN THIS VOLUME: Archaeometry, Networks, Raw Materials,
Technological Innovation, Prehistory & Protohistory

UISPP PROCEEDINGS SERIES is a printed on demand and an open access publication,
edited by UISPP through Archaeopress

BOARD OF UISPP: Jean Bourgeois (President), Luiz Oosterbeek (Secretary-General),
François Djindjian (Treasurer), Ya-Mei Hou (Vice President), Marta Arzarello (Deputy Secretary-General).
The Executive Committee of UISPP also includes the Presidents of all the international scientific
commissions (www.uispp.org)

BOARD OF THE XVII WORLD CONGRESS OF UISPP: Eudald Carbonell (Secretary-General),
Robert Sala I Ramos, Jose Maria Rodriguez Ponga (Deputy Secretary-Generals)



UNIÃO EUROPEIA

Fundo Europeu
de Desenvolvimento Regional

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures and Tables.....	ii
Foreword to the XVII UISPP Congress Proceedings Series Edition	iv
<i>Luiz OOSTERBEEK</i>	
Networks of trade in raw materials and technological innovations in Prehistory & Protohistory: an archaeometry approach Introduction.....	v
<i>Davide DELFINO, Paolo PICCARDO, João Carlos BAPTISTA</i>	
Middle Bronze Age metalworking in the cave of Monte Meana (South-western Sardinia, Italy).....	1
<i>Marco SERRA, Stefano NAITZA, Carla CANNAS, and Giacomo PAGLIETTI</i>	
Bronze Age silver artifacts from Romania – an archaeo-metallurgical study	13
<i>Bogdan CONSTANTINESCU, Daniela CRISTEA-STAN and Anca-Diana POPESCU</i>	
Prehistoric gold metallurgy in Transylvania – an archaeometrical study.....	27
<i>Daniela CRISTEA-STAN and Bogdan CONSTANTINESCU</i>	
Passage of technologies – an archaeometric case study of iron artifacts of a Scythian Age grave from the Carpathian Basin.....	39
<i>B. TÖRÖK, A. GYUCHA, Á. KOVÁCS, P. BARKÓCZY, and Gy. GULYÁS</i>	
An indigenous pottery production strategy in the late Early Bronze Age site of Mursia, Pantelleria, Italy. Perspectives on social complexity and indigenous interaction patterns.....	51
<i>Matteo CANTISANI</i>	
Bronze Age ceramics from Sardinia (Italy) – a technological study.....	69
<i>Maria Giuseppina GRADOLI</i>	
A preliminary archaeometric study of eneolithic anthropomorphic statues from Nurallao (central Sardinia, Italy)	81
<i>Marco SERRA, Valentina MAMELI and Carla CANNAS</i>	
Early Iron Age pottery in south-western Iberia – archaeometry and chronology	95
<i>Michał KRUEGER, Dirk BRANDHERM</i>	

List of Figures and Tables

M. SERRA et al.: Middle Bronze Age metalworking in the cave of Monte Meana (South-western Sardinia, Italy)

FIGURE 1. MAP OF SOUTH-WEST SARDINIA (ITALY) AND LOCATION OF THE MONTE MEANA CAVE AND CLOSE MINES OF COPPER.....	2
FIGURE 2. THE INTERIOR OF THE CAVE WITH THE INDICATION OF THE FINDING OF THE SLAGS AND THE DAGGER.....	3
FIGURE 3. SLAGS AND DATED CHARCOAL FOUND AREA.....	3
FIGURE 4. THE SLAGS AND THE DAGGER	4
FIGURE 5. PXRF QUALITATIVE ELEMENTAL DATA OBTAINED ON THE METALLURGICAL SLAGS (A-B) AND THE DAGGER (C-D)	7
FIGURE 6. MICROSCOPIC FEATURES OF THE METALLURGICAL SLAG 2042. THIN SECTION (TRANSMITTED LIGHT)	9
FIGURE 7. A, B. MAIN CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC PHASES OF THE SLAG 2042	10
TABLE 1. ¹⁴ C DATES OF THE MONTE MEANA CAVE'S SMELTING FURNACE AND SA TURRICULA DOMESTIC AREA	4
TABLE 2. ANALITICAL CONTENTS (PPM AND %) FROM THE SLAG 2042 AND THE DAGGER 1694	8

B. CONSTANTINESCU et al.: Bronze Age silver artifacts from Romania – an archaeo-metallurgical study

FIGURE 1. MAP OF SILVER ARTIFACTS PROVENANCE SITES	15
FIGURE 2. SILVER HAIR RINGS AND BEADS: 1. VERBIȚA; 2A-B. PLENIȚA; 3-6. ZIMNICEA; 7-8. ARICEȘTII RAHTIVANI	16
FIGURE 3. THE COMPOSITION OF THE ANALYZED SILVER HAIR RINGS	17
FIGURE 4. ARICEȘTII RAHTIVANI GILDED SPIRAL RING.....	18
FIGURE 5. THE SILVER-COPPER DAGGER FROM PODURI.....	19
FIGURE 6. PERȘINARI SILVER SHAFT-HOLE AXES	20
FIGURE 7. XRF ANALYSES OF A BROKEN AXE.....	20
FIGURE 8. GOLD NUGGET	21
FIGURE 9. VULCHITRUN-TYPE DISC FOUND IN CĂLĂRAȘI.....	21
TABLE 1. ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION OF PODURI DAGGER ALLOY	19

D. CRISTEA-STAN and B. CONSTANTINESCU: Prehistoric gold metallurgy in Transylvania – an archaeometrical study

FIGURE 1. MAP OF GOLD GEOLOGICAL SOURCES INVESTIGATED BY OUR GROUP	28
FIGURE 2. MAP OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL GOLD ARTIFACTS INVESTIGATED BY OUR GROUP	28
FIGURE 3. GOLD BRONZE AGE ARTIFACTS FROM SMIG, BIIA, CAUAS, SACUIENI, PECICA-ROVINE, CACOVA	29
FIGURE 4. HAIR RINGS (LOCK RINGS) FROM: A. CACOVA (AIUD) AND B. SIBIU	30
FIGURE 5. TAUTEU HOARD	31
FIGURE 6. TAUTEU RING 1 – MICRO-SR-XRF SPECTRUM	32
FIGURE 7. GOLD BRACELET BOARTA.....	32
FIGURE 8. A SPIRALED GOLD DACIAN BRACELET.....	33
FIGURE 9. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE AU/AG RATIO AND THE AU CONTENT [%] IN DACIAN BRACELETS.....	34
FIGURE 10. GOLD DACIAN KOSON STATERS	35
TABLE 1. ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION OF ARTIFACTS FROM SMIG, CAUAS, SACUIENI, PECICA, CACOVA, TAUTEU	30
TABLE 2. ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION OF ARTIFACTS FROM CACOVA (AIUD) AND SIBIU	31
TABLE 3. DACIAN BRACELETS COMPOSITION	34
TABLE 4. ELEMENTAL CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS IN KOSON STATERS WITH MONOGRAM.....	36
TABLE 5. ELEMENTAL CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS IN KOSON STATERS WITHOUT MONOGRAM	36

B. TÖRÖK et al.: Passage of technologies – an archaeometric case study of iron artifacts of a Scythian Age grave from the Carpathian Basin

FIGURE 1. THE EXAMINED IRON ARTIFACTS – LONG AXE, TRUNNION AXE, SHAFT-HOLE AXE, ADZE-AXE, SPEARHEAD AND SHEATH ...	41
FIGURE 2. SEM MICROGRAPH OF THE LONG AXE – NEAR THE SURFACE OF ITS STEM	42
FIGURE 3. P-RICH SLAG INCLUSIONS IN THE INNER AREA OF THE LONG AXE ARRANGED IN THE DIRECTION OF FORMATION	43
FIGURE 4. WIDMANSTÄTTEN-FERRITE ON A SEM MICROGRAPH OF THE TRUNNION AXE	43
FIGURE 5. OM MICROGRAPH OF THE LOOP OF THE SHAFT-HOLE AXE	44
FIGURE 6. PEARLITIC-FERRITIC LAYERS IN ALTERNATING SERIES WITH INCLUSION IN THE INNER AREA OF THE LOOP OF THE SHAFT-HOLE AXE.....	45
FIGURE 7. FRACTURED CEMENTITE OF THE EDGE OF THE SHAFT-HOLE AXE.....	45
FIGURE 8. PEARLITE AND NET LIKE FERRITE (WIDMANSTÄTTEN-STYLE IN SOME PLACES) ON SEM MICROGRAPH OF THE EDGE PERPENDICULAR TO THE HOLE OF THE ADZE AXE	46
FIGURE 9. PEARLITE AND SECONDARY CEMENTITE ON AN OM MICROGRAPH OF THE EDGE-RING PARALLEL TO THE HOLE OF THE ADZE AXE	47
FIGURE 11. ELEMENT SPECTRUM OF A SLAG INCLUSION OF THE SHEATH	48
FIGURE 10. SEM MICROGRAPH OF THE SPEARHEAD	48

**M. CANTISANI: An indigenous pottery production strategy in
the late Early Bronze Age site of Mursia, Pantelleria, Italy.
Perspectives on social complexity and indigenous interaction patterns**

FIGURE 1. PLANIMETRY AND EXCAVATION AREAS	52
FIGURE 2. THE CERAMIC TYPES ASSEMBLAGE FROM MURSIA.....	52
FIGURE 3. GEOGRAPHICAL SETTINGS AND MURSIA SITE LOCATION.....	54
FIGURE 4. PARTICULAR OF THE INVESTIGATED AREAS [A) THE LOCATION OF B AREA; B) THE NORTH ZONE; C) THE SOUTH ZONE WITH LOCATION OF THE STRUCTURE B3].....	55
FIGURE 5. PANTELLERIA ISLE LOCATION WITHIN THE SCRZ.....	57
FIGURE 6. FAULTS AND CALDERA AREAS IN PANTELLERIA	58
FIGURE 7. THE ERUPTIVE CYCLES AND THE VOLCANIC STRUCTURES ABOVE; UNDER THE MAIN GEOLOGICAL LITHOTYPES ARE REPRESENTED	59
FIGURE 8. RAW MATERIAL SOURCES LOCATION; A) THE GEOLOGICAL CONTEXT; B) THE LAKE BASIN WITH SECONDARY CLAY SEDIMENTS; C) FOSSA DEL ROSSO AND THE TWO GIBBILE MOUNTS WITHIN THE VECCHIA CALDERA.....	62
FIGURE 9. THIN SECTION MICROGRAPHS OF THE LATE EARLY BRONZE AGE POTTERY FROM MURSIA.....	63
TABLE 1. SAMPLE. PETROGRAPHIC CHARACTERIZATION	60

**M. G. GRADOLI: Bronze Age ceramics from Sardinia (Italy) –
a technological study**

FIGURE 1. THE AREA UNDER STUDY. FROM LILLIU C. 1985; AND BADAS <i>ET AL.</i> 1989.....	70
FIGURE 2. COMPLEX NURAGHE GENNA MARIA AT VILLANOVOFARRU. PHOTO MUNICIPALITY OF VILLANOVAFORRU.....	71
FIGURE 3. MIDDLE AND RECENT BRONZE AGE POTTERY FROM THE STUDIED AREA.....	73

**M. SERRA et al.: A preliminary archaeometric study of eneolithic anthropomorphic
statues from Nurallao (central Sardinia, Italy)**

FIGURE 1. GEOGRAPHIC SETTING OF NURALLAO.....	82
FIGURE 2. AIODDA-NURALLAO: SOUTHERN VIEW OF THE NURAGIC BURIAL (A); MENHIR STATUES CALLED AIODDA I (B), AIODDA IV (C) AND AIODDA 13 (D).....	83
FIGURE 3. EASTERN VIEW (A), LAYOUT PLAN AND CROSS SECTIONS (B) OF THE PERDA TELLADA'S LIMESTONE QUARRY.....	84
FIGURE 4. GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF NURALLAO.....	85
FIGURE 5. GEOLOGICAL SAMPLING PLAN OF THE VILLAGRECA LIMESTONE	86
FIGURE 6. COMPARISON BETWEEN PALAEONTOLOGICAL AND MINERALOGICAL CHARACTERS RECOGNIZED ON THE GEOLOGICAL SAMPLES OF VILLAGRECA (A-C) AND ON THE ENEOLITHIC SCULPTURES OF AIODDA (D)	90
TABLE 1. ED-XRF SPECTRA OF A VILLAGRECA GEOLOGICAL SAMPLE (A) AND A MENHIR STATUE OF AIODDA (B)	89
TABLE 2. GEOCHEMICAL INTRA-SOURCE VARIABILITY OF THE VILLAGRECA UNIT: SCATTER PLOTS OF THE ED-XRF INTENSITIES RATIOS (A) AND ICP-OES/ICP-MS CONCENTRATIONS (B)	89
TABLE 3. PXRD PATTERNS OF THE VILLAGRECA GEOLOGICAL SAMPLES	91
TABLE 4. SOURCE PROVENANCE OF THE ANTHROPOMORPHIC SCULPTURES OF AIODDA	92

**M. KRUEGER and D. BRANDHERM: Early Iron Age pottery in south-western Iberia –
archaeometry and chronology**

FIGURE 1. MAIN SITES OF THE LOWER GUADALQUIVIR STUDIED WITHIN THE PROJECT	96
FIGURE 2. CHRONOLOGICAL RANGE FOR CREMATION BURIALS FROM THE SETEFILLA FLAT CEMETERY AND TUMULI A AND B	98
FIGURE 3. THE XRF SPECTROMETER IN THE FACULTY OF CHEMISTRY OF THE ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY IN POZNAŃ	100

Foreword to the XVII UISPP Congress Proceedings Series Edition

Luiz OOSTERBEEK
Secretary-General

UISPP has a long history, starting with the old International Association of Anthropology and Archaeology, back in 1865, until the foundation of UISPP itself in Bern, in 1931, and its growing relevance after WWII, from the 1950's. We also became members of the International Council of Philosophy and Human Sciences, associate of UNESCO, in 1955.

In its XIVth world congress in 2001, in Liège, UISPP started a reorganization process that was deepened in the congresses of Lisbon (2006) and Florianópolis (2011), leading to its current structure, solidly anchored in more than twenty-five international scientific commissions, each coordinating a major cluster of research within six major chapters: Historiography, methods and theories; Culture, economy and environments; Archaeology of specific environments; Art and culture; Technology and economy; Archaeology and societies.

The XVIIth world congress of 2014, in Burgos, with the strong support of Fundación Atapuerca and other institutions, involved over 1700 papers from almost 60 countries of all continents. The proceedings, edited in this series but also as special issues of specialized scientific journals, will remain as the most important outcome of the congress.

Research faces growing threats all over the planet, due to lack of funding, repressive behavior and other constraints. UISPP moves ahead in this context with a strictly scientific programme, focused on the origins and evolution of humans, without conceding any room to short term agendas that are not root in the interest of knowledge.

In the long run, which is the terrain of knowledge and science, not much will remain from the contextual political constraints, as severe or dramatic as they may be, but the new advances into understanding the human past and its cultural diversity will last, this being a relevant contribution for contemporary and future societies.

This is what UISPP is for, and this is also why we are currently engaged in contributing for the relaunching of Human Sciences in their relations with social and natural sciences, namely collaborating with the International Year of Global Understanding, in 2016, and with the World Conference of the Humanities, in 2017.

The next two congresses of UISPP, in Melbourne (2017) and in Geneva (2020), will confirm this route.

Networks of trade in raw materials and technological innovations in Prehistory & Protohistory: an archaeometry approach

Introduction

Davide DELFINO, Paolo PICCARDO, João Carlos BAPTISTA
Session coordinators

Key-words: *Archaeometry, Networks, Raw Materials, Technological Innovation, Prehistory & Protohistory*

Archaeometry is a multidisciplinary research field, where archaeologists, chemists, physicists, materials scientists and geologists cooperate for a better understanding of the past under the point of view of materials and context. It makes clear that no natural scientists can fully understand the materials evidences of the past, despite all precise measurements, without the competent and experienced support of the archaeologists in ancient human dynamics. Besides that, the skills and knowledge of the above mentioned scientists about mineralogy (e.g. ores, rocks, sediments and their characteristics), materials properties, and chemical-physical dynamics are essentials for the archaeological studies in order to put light on provenances, technology and absolute chronology of ancient materials and contexts.

Thanks to archaeometry in the last nearly four decades archeologists have had a simplified access to physical and chemical investigation methods suitable to better study the materials and their archaeological contexts, as witnessed by several authors who published manuals, such as: Renfrew and Bahn (1991 and further editions), Ellis (2000), and Brothwell and Pollard (2001). The existence of such references does not make archaeologists independent, but simply helps them to easier collaborate with chemists, physicists and geologists. What could be today questioned is the unique of the ‘archeometer’, since a team made of the needed specialists would be more efficient than any individual claiming to have a suitable knowledge in both archeologic and scientific disciplines.

The paper collected in this book corresponds to the lectures held during session B34 of UISPP conference in Burgos (June 2014) where the presentation of multidisciplinary works were encouraged. The main goal of bringing together specialists from various disciplines (humanities and natural sciences) was to debate by different perspectives the networks in raw materials and technological innovation in Prehistory and Protohistory, involving investigation topics typical of archaeometry: archeometallurgy, petrography, and mineralogy.

C. Hawkes in 1958 coined the term ‘Archaeometry’, two years later, in 1960, W. F. Libby wins the Nobel prize after discovery, in 1950, the possibility to use the Carbon isotopes ratio as a dating method for organic materials. Since the number of researches involving archaeometry as an approach to the study of ancients’ materials increased considerably. The technological know-how of ancient peoples and the provenance studies were carried out in two directions:

1. characterization of ancient technologies and materials, since the fundamental works by Hodges (1964 and 1971) and Forbes (1966) about technologies in ancient world; by Kempe and Harvey (1983); by Clough and Cummins (1988) about petrology and axe stone study; by Sieveking and Hart (1986), in flint and chert technology and production; by Torrence (1986) about production and exchange of stone tools; by Shepard (1985) and by Rice (1987), about ceramic analysis and technology; by Craddock and Hughes (1985) and by Tylecote (1962, 1987) about early metallurgy in Europe followed by Pollard and Heron (1996), and by Lambert (1997) about general aims of archaeometry. The most recent works are by Hurcombe (2007), about manufacturing methods of archaeological artefacts; by Cuomo di

Caprio (2008) and by Albiero Santacreu (2014) for the study of ceramic, and by Montero Ruiz (2010) for archaeometallurgy, with very comprehensive manuals. Concerning petrology, it is worth remembering Shakley (2008) for all lithic materials and Quinn (2013) for ceramic petrology.

2. provenance of materials and artifacts, carried out by, citing the most important works only, Earle and Ericson (1977) in a general view and about problems of production, circulation and provenance; by Ericson and Purdy (1984) about the production and circulation of lithic tools; by Stoss Gale (1991), Stoss Gale *et al.* (1995) (1998) and Gale (2011), about provenance of copper alloys objects in Mediterranean Bronze Age using lead isotopes; by Peacock (1969) about provenance of Neolithic pottery; by Mannoni (1968) about the contribution of mineralogy and ceramic technology to archaeology; by Wilson (1978) discussing about the meaning of chemical composition in pottery; by Glascock, Neff and Vaughn (2004) about physical methods in Nazca pottery provenance; by Tite (2008), about current state of research in ceramic production, provenance and use.

In virtue of the state of research, the limits of the classical approaches and of the new frontiers further disciplines were added to the available one as anthropology for the interpretation of ancient productions and exchanges. One aim is to sustain the discussion about trade in raw materials unveiling the few improper practices made in past researches by chemical methods (e.g. simply relying on the chemical composition of bronzes to suggest their area of origin) and introducing new approaches and methods. Another aim is to underline the importance of the technological innovations from Pre- and Proto-history in order to understand which reasons were behind the innovation.

Within these specific issues the present volume treats three main materials: metals, ceramic and stones with the following papers divided by materials kind:

1. Metals
 - 1.1. Paglietti *et al.*, about a finding of an object from Monte Meana-Santadi cave representative of early bronze technology in Sardinian Bronze Age;
 - 1.2. Cristea-Stan and Constantinescu, about the continuity of gold metallurgy between Neolithic and Bronze Age in Transilvania;
 - 1.3. Constantinescu and Cristea-Stan, about researches on silver metallurgy in Bronze Age of Lower Danube;
 - 1.4. Török *et al.*, about metals from a First Iron Age grave positioned at Bâtmonostor-Szurdok that reflects shared cultural traditions and commercial activities between different regions of Scythian Culture, Great Hungarian Plain and Transdanubian Hallstatt Culture
2. Ceramic
 - 2.1. Cantisani presenting a research about Bronze Age pottery from a settlement sited in Mursia (Pantelleria island) with the related issues about local production or imported goods;
 - 2.2. Gradoli refers about technological and production studies in nuragic Bronze Age ceramic;
 - 2.3. Krueger and Brandherm, write about a techno-chronological study in Tartessian ceramic (South-West Iberia) with the aim to better define the chronology of the First Iron Age based also on the discovery of imported (Phoenician)
3. Stones
 - 3.1. Serra *et al.*, presenting the provenance study of 13 menhir-stelae by the Calcolithic site of Aiodda-Nurallao

References

- ALBERO SANTACREU, D. 2014. *Material, Technics and Society in Pottery Production*, Warsaw and Berlin: De Gruyter Open, Ltd.
- BROTHWELL, D. R.; POLLARD, A. M. 2001. *Handbook of Archaeological Sciences*, Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

- CLOUGH, T.; CUMMINS, W. 1988. *Stone Axes Studies 2*, Research Report 67, London: Council for the British Archaeology.
- CRADDOCK, P. T.; HUGHES, M. J. 1985. *Funaces and smelting technology in Antiquity*, British Museum Occasional Papers, 48, London: Trust of British Museums.
- CUOMO DI CAPRIO, N. 2008. *Ceramica in arqueologia 2*, Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider.
- EARLE, T. K.; ÉRICSON, J. E. (eds.) *Exchange System in Prehistory*, New York: Academic Press.
- ELLIS, L. 2000. *Archaeological Methods and Teory*, New York and London: Garland Publishing.
- ERCSON, J.; PURDY, B. 1984. *Prehistoric Quarries and Lithic Production*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- FORBES, R. J. 1966. *Studies in Ancient Technology*, Leiden; E. J. Brill.
- GALE, N. H. 2011. Copper Oxhide Ingots and Lead Provenancing, In Betancourt, P.; Ferrence, S. C. (eds.) *Metallurgy: understanding how, learning why. Studies in Honour of James D. Muhly*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Instap Academic Press, 213-221.
- HURCOMBE, L. M. 2007. *Archaeological artefacts as material culture*, London and New York: Routledge.
- KEMPE, D.; HARVEY, A. 1983. *The Petrology of Archaeological Artefacts*, Oxford: Clarenton Press.
- LAMBERT, J. B. 1997. *Traces of the past: Unrevealing the secrets of Archaeology through Chemistry*, Reading, Massachusetts: Helix Books/Wesley Longman.
- HODGES, H. 1964. *Artifacts: an introduction to Early Materials and Technology*, London: John Backer.
- HODGES, H. 1971. *Technology in the Ancient World*, Harmondsworth and Baltimore: Penguin Books.
- MANNONI, T. 1968. Mineralogia e tecnologia della ceramica al servizio dell'archeologia, *Atti e memorie della Deputazione di Storia Patria per le antiche Provincie Modenesi*, X, vol. III, pp. 249-258.
- MONTERO RUIZ, I. (ed.) 2010. *Manual de Arqueometallurgia*, Madrid: Alaclá de Enares.
- NASCOCK, M. D.; NEFF, H.; VAUGHN, K. J. 2004. Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis and Multivariate Statistic for Pottery Provenance, *Hyperfine Interaction*, vol. 154, Issue 1, pp. 95-105.
- PEACOCK, D. P. S. 1969. Neolithicpottery production in Cornwall, *Antiquity*, vol. 43, Issue 170, pp. 145-149.
- POLLARD, A. M.; HERON, C. (eds.) 1996. *Archaeological Chemistry*, Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- QUINN, P. S. 2013. *Ceramic Petrography: The Interpretation of Archaeological Pottery & Related Artefacts in Thin Section*, Oxford: Archaeopress.
- RENFREW, C.; BAHN, P. 1991. *Archaeology: Theory, Methods and Pratices*, London: Thames and Hudson.
- RICE, P. M. 1987. *Pottery Analysis: a Sourcebook*, Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- SHAKLEY, M. S. 2008. Archaeological Petrology and the Archaeometry of Lithic Materials, *Archaeometry*, vol. 50, Issue 2, April 2008, pp. 194-215, DOI:10.1111/j.1475-4754.2008.00390.x
- SHEPARD, A. O. 1985. *Ceramic for the Archaeologist*, Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institute.
- SIEVEKING, G.; HART, M. B. 1986. *The Scientific Study of Flint and Chert*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- STOS-GALE, Z. A. 1990. Lead isotope studies of metals and the metals trade in the Bronze Age Mediterranean, In Henderson, J. (ed.) *Scientific Analysis in Archaeology*, Oxford: Oxford University Committee for Archaeology and the UCLA Institute of Archaeology, pp. 274-301.
- STOS-GALE, Z. A.; GALE, N. H.; HOUGHTON, J.; SPEAKMAN, R. 1995. Lead isotope analyses of ores from the Western Mediterranean, *Archaeometry* 37, 2, pp. 407-415.
- STOS-GALE, Z. A.; GALE, N. H.; BASS, G.; PULAK, C; GALILI, E.; SHARVIT, J. 1998. The copper and tin ingots of the Late Bronze Age Mediterranean: New scientific evidence. In *Proceedings of The Fourth International Conference on the Beginning of the Use on Metals and Alloys (BUMA-IV)*, Aoba: The Japan Institute of Metals, pp. 115-126.

- TITE, M. A. 2008. Ceramic production, provenance and use- a review, *Archaeometry*, vol. 50, Issue 2, pp. 216-231.
- TORRENCE, R. 1986. *The Production and Exchange of Stone Tools*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- TYLECOTE, R. F. 1962. *Metallurgy in Archaeology*, London: Edward Arnolds.
- TYLECOTE, R. F. 1987. *The Early History of Metallurgy in Europe*, London and White Plains: Longman.
- WILSON, A. L. 1978. Elemental Analysis of Pottery in the study of its provenance: a review, *Journal of Archaeological Sciences*, vol. 5, Issue 3, pp. 219-236.