

The Archaeological Heritage of Oman

HINTERLAND MONUMENTS OF ANCIENT NOMADS

Trilith Stone Structures of Southeastern Arabia

ROMAN GARBA



Sultanate of Oman سلطنة عُمان
وزارة التراث والسياحة
Ministry of Heritage and Tourism



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD
13-14 Market Square
Bicester
Oxfordshire
OX26 6AD
www.archaeopress.com

© Roman Garba 2025

Hinterland Monuments of Ancient Nomads: Trilith Stone Structures of Southeastern Arabia
(Includes bibliographical references and index).

1. Arabia. 2. Oman 3. Triliths. 4. Nomads 5. Antiquities.

This edition is published by Archaeopress Publishing Ltd in association with the Ministry of Heritage and Tourism, Sultanate of Oman.

ISBN: 978-1-80583-101-3

ISBN: 978-1-80583-102-0 (e-Pdf)

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of the Ministry of Heritage and Tourism, Sultanate of Oman.

Ministry of Heritage and Tourism
Sultanate of Oman, Muscat
P.O. Box 200, Postal Code 115
Thaqafah Street
Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

Cover: Trilith monument north of Duqm, Al-Wusta Governorate, Sultanate of Oman (Photograph by Roman Garba)

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

<i>List of illustrations and tables</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
<i>General notes</i>	xv
1 Nomenclature and Research on Triliths	1
2 Megalithic Markers in the Desert Landscape	17
3 Remote Sensing Vision Vs. Field Survey Reality	49
4 Trilith Excavation and Relocation to the National Museum	55
5 Tracing Trilith Monuments in Time	90
6 In the Shadow of the Ancestors	101
7 The Time Capsules of Tribal Migrations	110
8 Conclusion and Future Perspectives	117
<i>Bibliography</i>	119
<i>Index</i>	125
Appendix A: List of sampled trilith hearths and platforms	130
Appendix B: List of triliths of south-eastern Arabia	134

List of illustrations and tables

FIGURES

1.1.	Typical configuration of a trilith cluster, Wādī Ṣayy, Ad-Duqm. NE view.	2
1.2.	Trilith complex, Wādī Ḥamr, Ad-Duqm. Source: Orthophoto Waleed Al-Ghafri (MHT).	2
1.3.	Updated trilith nomenclature. After Garba 2021b: 24.	3
1.4.	Triliths distribution map. Source: Yule 2013: 25, fig. 14.	9
1.5.	Long axis orientation of trilith groups in south central Oman; Source: Jagher <i>et al.</i> 2008: 66 (left); Long axis orientation of trilith groups in southern Oman; Data source: Harrower <i>et al.</i> 2014: 150, tab. 4; Rose diagram: Garba (right).	11
1.6.	The general research framework followed by the trilith study.	14
1.7.	Trilith Research Framework.	14
1.8.	The main geographical areas investigated during TSMO field campaigns.	16
2.1.	Development of the author's trilith dataset. Data source: trilith dB v.16.04 statistics log.	18
2.2.	Distribution map of trilith monuments in south-eastern Arabia. Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created with QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	21
2.3.	Heat map visualisation of trilith concentration in south-eastern Arabia. Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created with QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	30-31
2.4.	Distribution map of trilith monuments in eastern Yemen (Ḥaḍramawt and Al-Mahra governorates). Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created with QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	32-33
2.5.	Distribution map of trilith monuments in Dhufār Governorate of Oman. Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created with QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	21
2.6.	Distribution map of trilith monuments in Al-Wuṣṭā Governorate of Oman. Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created using QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	22-23
2.7.	Distribution map of trilith monuments in the Governorates of Al-Buraymī, Ad-Dāḥilīyah, Aš-Sharqīyah South, and Aš-Sharqīyah North in Oman. Source: Map GeoTIFF data source, GEBCO Compilation Group (2022) GEBCO 2022 Grid (http://gebco.net). Base map created with QGIS version 3.26.3-Buenos Aires; trilith dB v.16.04.	24-25
2.8.	Distance to path/trail histogram with kernel density (red line), N=921. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R stats.	26-27

2.9.	Distance to wadi (bottom) histogram with kernel density (red line), N=921. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R stats.	28-29
2.10.	Bivariate comparison of the distance of a trilith from a path/trail versus a wadi, measured in metres. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R (ggplot2, ggextra packages).	34
2.11.	Distance to settlement histogram with kernel density (red line), N=921. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R stats.	35
2.12.	Distance to water source histogram with kernel density (red line), N=921. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R stats.	35
2.13.	Count plot of trilith distance from settlement vs. from water source with linear regression line. Source: trilith dataset v16.01 + R (ggplot2, ggextra packages).	35
2.14.	Count plot of trilith site length vs. number of clusters with linear regression line. Source: trilith dataset v16.01.	36
2.15.	Histogram of trilith cluster length. Source: trilith dataset v16.01.	37
2.16.	Histogram of altitude of trilith sites. Source: trilith dataset v16.01.	38
2.17.	Rose diagrams of orientation: nearest path orientation (left, n=921); trilith axis orientation (centre, n=921), wadi orientation (right, n=772). Source: trilith dataset v16.02.	38
2.18.	Trilith axis orientation vs. path orientation (left; n=921); Trilith axis orientation vs. wadi orientation (right; n=772). Source: trilith dataset v16.02.	39
2.19.	Trilith group platform from southern Oman, site OM.ZU.049; N view. Photo: Garba 2012.	40
2.20.	Trilith group platform from south-central Oman, site OM.WU.060, NE view. Photo: Garba 2017.	40
2.21.	Trilith group platform from eastern Oman, site OM.SJ.001, SE view. Photo: Garba 2017.	41
2.22.	Right-angled trilith OM.WU.077 at Wādī ‘Aīnain, SW view. Photo: Garba 2013.	42
2.23.	OM.WU.078 with capstone suggesting an incense burner at Wādī ‘Aīnan. Photo: Garba 2013.	42
2.24.	Pyramidal tomb in trilith complex at Wādī Ṣayy. Photo: Duqm Survey 2015.	43
2.25.	OM.WU.031 at Wādī Nafūn, E view from the top of the ‘sacred hill’. Photo: Duqm Survey 2015.	44
2.26.	OM.WU.090 in the Wādī Nafūn trilith complex. Photo: Duqm Survey 2015.	44
2.27.	Trilith-like row of standing monoliths at Wādī ‘Ajrān. Photo: Garba 2018.	45
2.28.	Photogrammetric model of OM.BU.001 at Wādī ‘Ajnan. Source: Garba 2018 + Agisoft Metashape sw.	45
2.29.	Trilith sites and clusters by region of Oman. Source: trilith dB v16.0	47
3.1.	Examples of false positives from Aš-Sharqīyah North Governorate: (A) geological; (B) a row of camel bones; (C) grass clusters imitating hearths; (D) geological outcrop.	50
3.2.	False positives due to geological outcrops that mimic trilith platforms, Al-Mahra Governorate, Yemen. Satellite image: Google Earth.	50
3.3.	False negative: The remains of trilith platforms are difficult to detect on satellite imagery and even on the ground. Wādī Ṣirāb, Al-Wuṣṭā, Oman. Satellite image: Google Earth.	51

3.4.	False negative—difficult to observe trilith site. Aš-Sharqīyah North, Oman. Satellite image: Google Earth.	51
3.5.	False negatives (right) during the monsoon; same area (left) during the dry season with visible trilith sites. Al-Mahra Governorate, Yemen. Satellite image: Google Earth.	52
3.6.	Resolution advantage of using a drone or kite to identify two-phased trilith sites (right), that are not visible in satellite image (left). Al-Qarwa'ah, Al-Mahra, Yemen. Source: Mohammed Al-Kindi (right); Satellite image: Google Earth (left).	52
3.7.	Advantage of drone/kite to identify configuration of large trilith complexes (right); not visible from the surface (left). Al-Mahra Governorate, Yemen. Source: Mohammed Al-Kindi.	53
4.1.	Map of excavated or sampled trilith hearths (yellow diamonds) with trilith sites (black triangles).	56-57
4.2.	South view of trilith site OM.WU.086 with trilith platforms on the left and hearths on the right.	58
4.3.	Cleaning of SU1 (left) and a sketch of the excavated hearth (right).	58
4.4.	Excavated hearth OM.WU.086.FP2: SU0 (A), SU1 (B), SU2 (C), SU3 virgin soil (D).	59
4.5.	Orthogonal trilith hearth plan of OM.WU.086.FP2 with the location of the charcoal sample (drawing by M.P. Maiorano).	59
4.6.	General East view towards the east of the trilith complex OM.WU.090, Wādī Nafūn.	60-61
4.7.	Excavation of trilith hearth OM.WU.090.FP9: SU 0 (A); SU2 layer (B); SU3 layer (C); charcoal lens at -43cm (D).	62
4.8.	Trilith hearth OM.WU.090.FP9 plan (drawing by M.P. Maiorano).	62
4.9.	General West view of trilith site OM.WU.016 with hearth OM.WU.016.FP4 (under shade).	63
4.10.	Trilith hearth OM.WU.016.FP4 – general SE view (left), excavation (right).	63
4.11.	Excavated trilith hearth OM.WU.016.FP4.	63
4.12.	Cross-section of OM.WU.016.FP4.	64
4.13.	Trilith site OM.WU.093 in Wādī Wāṭif, E view.	64
4.14.	Spatial configuration of two-phase trilith site OM.WU.093, SSE view.	65
4.15.	Trilith hearth OM.WU.093.FP3: vertical view (left); charcoal fragments (right).	66
4.16.	Trilith hearth OM.WU.093.FP6: vertical view (left); profile (right).	66
4.17.	Trilith site OM.WU.075 (in the fence) and remains of the trilith hearth OM.WU.075.FP2.	67
4.18.	Trilith hearth OM.WU.075.FP2 vertical view (left) and charcoal layer SU1 in the profile (right).	67
4.19.	Charcoal deposit in trilith hearth OM.WU.075.FP2.	68
4.20.	Sampled hearths at OM.WU.076 (left); difference between 'earlier' and 'later' phase (right).	68
4.21.	Trilith hearths OM.WU.084.FP1 NE view (left); OM.WU.084.FP6 E view (right).	69
4.22.	Trilith site OM.WU.008: general SE view (left); trilith hearth OM.WU.008.FP1 (right).	69
4.23.	Trilith site OM.ZU.002 with hearth OM.ZU.002.FP1; E view.	70

4.24.	Trilith hearth OM.ZU.002.FP1: vertical view (left); profile (right).	71
4.25.	Trilith site OM.ZU.066: NW view (left); hearth OM.ZU.066.FP1 (right).	71
4.26.	Trilith site OM.ZU.074: SSE view (left); vertical view of hearth OM.ZU.074.FP5 (right).	72
4.27.	General E view of central part of trilith site OM.ZU.115.	72
4.28.	OM.ZU.115.FP9 (left) sine SU0 (right).	73
4.29.	Trilith site OM.ZU.196: general N view.	73
4.30.	Trilith hearth OM.ZU.196.FP2: general N view (left); hearth profile (right).	74
4.31.	Trilith hearth OM.ZU.207.FP3: view toward N (left); removed chalk-like deposit from the hearth (right).	74
4.32.	Trilith hearth OM.ZU.207.FP3: detail of ashy layer with charcoal fragments (left); hearth stratigraphy with calcite layer - yellow shaded and ashy layer - grey shaded (right).	75
4.33.	Trilith hearth OM.ZU.184.FP4: view toward N (left); hearth profile (right).	75
4.34.	General E view of trilith site OM.ZU.211 with sampling of hearth OM.ZU.211.FP4.	76
4.35.	Sampling of trilith hearth OM.ZU.190.FP4.	77
4.36.	General S view of trilith site OM.ZU.191 showing the location of hearth OM.ZU.191.FP10.	77
4.37.	Sampling of hearth OM.ZU.191.FP10.	78
4.38.	Trilith site OM.ZU.210.FP2: general N view (left); sampled hearth OM.ZU.210.FP2 (right).	78
4.39.	OM.DA.008: (A) general N view. Hearth OM.DA.008.FP14: (B) W view; (C) vertical view; (D) profile view.	79
4.40.	OM.DA.005.FP6 secondary trilith hearth: (A) SE view; (B) general view; (C) profile.	80
4.41.	General NE view of trilith site OM.SS.029 with labelled hearth OM.SS.029.FP6.	81
4.42.	Location of OM.WU.062 in the Ad-Duqm area. Source: Modified NCSI layers (ncsi.gov.om), overview map by NSA (National Survey Authority).	82
4.43.	General NW view of the OM.WU.062 trilith platform as recorded in 2015. Source: Duqm Survey 2015 (left); OM.WU.062 surrounded by debris, SE view (right).	83
4.44.	Photogrammetric 3D model of OM.WU.062. Model created with Agisoft Photoscan software.	83
4.45.	OM.WU.062 plan with stone IDs and sectors.	83
4.46.	Labelling of stones, with top adhesive tape (left) and permanent marking below (right).	84
4.47.	OM.WU.062 with marked plinth size (white string) and divided into sectors (yellow string).	84
4.48.	OM.WU.062 excavation: (A) general view; (B) Sector E; (C) Sector C; (D) Sector A.	85
4.49.	Soil bags and stone wrapping material (left), cleaning Sector A sine L1.1 et L1.2 (right).	85
4.50.	OM.WU.062 trilith: (A) after excavation of Sectors A, C & E; (B) NW cross-section with in-situ sectors B, D & F.	86
4.51.	Dismantled OM.WU.062 in preparation for transportat to Muscat.	86

4.52.	Building of the National Museum in Muscat (left), prepared plinth for trilith installation (right).	87
4.53.	Adjustment of trilith stones using 3D model (left); installation of trilith No.1 (right).	87
4.54.	The final trilith display at the National Museum in Muscat.	88
4.55.	OM.DA.008 trilith platform sounding: (A) General N view of OM.DA.008 with test pits labelled TG4 and TG3; (B) OM.DA.008.TG4 test pit. Source: FAMCO project; (C) OM.DA.006.TG1 test pit. Source: FAMCO project.	88
5.1.	The sample yield statistics per region in Oman. Source TSMO sample dB v.23.	92
5.2.	Examples of Quality 1 charcoal: TSMO19-1B-067: CRL19225 from OM.SJ.005.FP1 (left); TSMO19-1B-068.B: CRL19377 from OM.WU.001.FP1 (right).	94
5.3.	Example of Quality 3 charcoal from sample TSMO19-1B-057: CRL19216 from OM.SS.023.FP2.	94
5.4.	Example of Quality 4 charcoal from sample TSMO19-2A_116: CRL19791 from OM.WU.084.FP1.	94
5.5.	Chalk-like powder with white calcitic chunks found in the hearth OM.ZU.207.FP3.	96
5.6.	Two-phased trilith site OM.WU.093 at Wādī Wāṭif with corresponding radiocarbon dates. Source: after Garba <i>et al.</i> 2021: 465; OxCal v4.4.4 Ramsey (2021), IntCal20 (Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2020).	100
6.1.	Distance to the nearest grave from a trilith site with kernel density. Source: trilith dataset 16.02.	102
6.2.	EIA and LIA cultural landscape at Nafūn with main archaeological features. Source: TSMO project.	104
6.3.	Landscape SE view of collective grave DM28.46 with adjacent archaeological sites.	106
6.4.	Landscape W view of the surrounding funerary landscape with tombs (red arrows) in the background and trilith OM.WU.035 (red ovals) in the foreground.	107
6.5.	Nafūn/Aṣ-Ṣifayyah flat rock outcrop with rock art engravings.	107
6.6.	Rock art figures from Aṣ-Ṣifayyah/Nafūn: boat (left), camel rider (right).	108
6.7.	Aṣ-Ṣifayyah/Nafūn petroglyphs in possibly South Arabian <i>zabūr</i> script.	108
7.1.	Trilith use (5 th – 4 th century BCE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	111
7.2.	Trilith use (3 rd century BCE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	111
7.3.	Trilith use (2 nd century BCE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	112
7.4.	Trilith use (1 st century BCE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	113
7.5.	Trilith use (1 st century CE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	113
7.6.	Trilith use (late 1 st – early 2 nd century CE). Source: Trilith dB v16.0, TSMO sample dB v19.6.	114
7.7.	Summed densities of probability distributions of radiocarbon dates from the examined regions. After Garba <i>et al.</i> 2021.	115

TABLES

1.1.	Geographical zones and associated trilith distribution region names used in the study.	4
1.2.	Overview of previous trilith locations and distribution lists. Source: updated after Garba 2019.	6
1.3.	Overview of previous trilith ¹⁴ C dating, calibrated using IntCal20. OxCal v4.4.4 Ramsey (2021), IntCal20 (Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2020).	10
1.4.	Overview of previous trilith interpretations.	12
2.1.	Development of author's trilith dataset. Source: trilith dB v16.04 statistics log.	18
2.2.	Total trilith sites and clusters per region. Source: trilith dB v16.04.	19
2.3.	Recorded trilith features during surveys in Oman. Source: trilith dB v16.0.	46
3.1.	Number of false positive trilith sites per governorate of Oman. Source: trilith dB v16.0.	49
5.1.	Statistics on the collection of ¹⁴ C samples from trilith hearths (TSMO Season 1&2).	90
5.2.	Representation of charcoal quality among samples submitted. Source: TSMO sample dB v.23.	93
5.3.	List of unsuccessfully dated organic samples. Source: TSMO sample dB v23.	93
5.4.	Results of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurements on selected charcoal samples from trilith hearths.	95
5.5.	Results of ¹⁴ C analyses. OxCal v4.4.4 Ramsey (2021), IntCal20 (Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2020).	98
5.6.	Calculations of the duration of use and the gap between activity phases at individual trilith sites. After Garba <i>et al.</i> 2021, Bayesian statistics by Peter Demján.	99
6.1.	Trilith sites and graves per region. Source: trilith dataset 16.02.	103

Acknowledgments

This book is dedicated to the memory of the late Prof. Maurizio Tosi

This book was commissioned and supported by the Ministry of Heritage and Tourism of the Sultanate of Oman. We are deeply grateful to H.E. Salim Mohammed Almahruqi, Minister of Heritage and Tourism; H.E. Eng. Ibrahim Said Al-Kharusi, Undersecretary for Heritage Affairs; Mr. Sultan Saif Al-Bakri, Advisor for Heritage to the Minister; Mr. Ali Hamood Al-Mahrooqi, Director of the Department of Excavations and Archaeological Surveys; Mr. Khamis Al-Asmi, former Director of the Department of Excavations and Archaeological Studies; Mr. Khalid Habib Al-Lawati, Director of the Journal of Omani Studies Department; Ms. Azza bint Abdul Aziz Al-Hinai, Translator of the Journal of Omani Studies Department. I would also like to thank the National Museum, and in particular H.E. Jamal Al-Moosawi, the Secretary General, for supporting the relocation of the trilith to the National Museum in Muscat.

The results of this study would be very limited without the support of the CRL Radiocarbon Laboratory, jointly run by the Institute of Archaeology and the Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences. The AMS radiocarbon dating was supported by the grant project 'RAMSES' OP RDE, MEYS, 'Ultra-trace isotope research in social and environmental studies using accelerator mass spectrometry', Reg. No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000728. The IRMS, XRF, ICP-MS, SEM/EDS measurements were supported by the Research Centre for Radiogenic and Stable Isotopes (Institute of Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Mineral Resources, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic), headed by Jakub Trubač. The XRD measurements of the sediments from the trilith hearths were supported by the Laboratory for Cosmogenic Nuclides (Institute for Applied Geology, BOKU University, Vienna, Austria) headed by Stephanie Neuhuber. The continuation of the trilith research is supported by the Czech Academy of Sciences (Praemium Academiae, V. Černý).

Special thanks go to Dominik Chlachula and Denis Stefanisko, (Masaryk University in Brno, Czech Republic); Maria Pia Maiorano (University of Naples L'Orientale, Italy); Stephanie Neuhuber (BOKU University of Vienna, Austria); Alžběta Danielisová and David Daněček (Archaeological Institute Prague of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic), Jakub Trubač (Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic), Waleed Al-Ghafri (Ministry of Heritage and Tourism), and Karel Vojta (independent), who participated in the Trilith Stone Monuments of Oman (TSMO) field expeditions in Oman.

Additional thanks go to the cooperating missions in Oman: SIPO (Sint Polje) mission of the Masaryk University in Brno, headed by Inna Mateiciucova (providing students for fieldwork); French Archaeological Mission in Central Oman (FAMCO), headed by Guillaume Gernez (test excavations of the Adam trilith group); and the mission of the University of Tübingen, headed by Stephanie Döpper and Conrad Schmidt (providing excavation equipment and hosting us during the travel to/from Ad-Duqm). Special thanks are due to Paul Yule, who provided valuable data and continuous support, and to Peter Demján, who assisted with Bayesian statistics of the radiocarbon data. I would like to thank Mauro Cremashi, Rudolf Dellmour, Nasser Al-Jahwari, Jeff Rose, Michael Harrower, Ali Al-Shahri and Henry Thompson for their input on trilith sites or previous trilith dating.

I would also like to thank Mohammed Salmeen Sulayiam Al-Junaibi from the village of Nafūn for kindly allowing us to stay in his house during the TSMO 2B field campaign. The fieldwork would not have been successful without the logistical support provided by Mansoor Al-Shabibi, CEO of Golden Highlights Travel Agency Company.

Finally, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Giordano Altamirano, Andrea Manzo, Bruno Genito and Romolo Loreto (University L'Orientale Napoli, Italy) and Ivo Světlík (Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic) for their guidance, supervision and scientific patronage of my Ph.D. programme, under which the trilith research was initiated.

General Notes

Maps are not intended to be an authority on political and administrative boundaries.

Unless otherwise stated, 'Oman' is used to the modern Sultanate of Oman.

Photo directions given in the captions (e.g., N view) always mean 'to' and not 'from'.

Arabic transcription:

- Where possible, the author has followed the DIN 31635 (Brockelmann *et al.* 1935; DIN 31635:2011-07) standard for Arabic transcription.
- In the text linked to external works, the author retains the original Arabic transcription that appeared in the source material.