

Ethnoarchaeology of Buildings 1



Ethnoarchaeology of Buildings 1

Edited by
María Pastor Quiles

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

13-14 Market Square

Bicester

Oxfordshire OX26 6AD

United Kingdom

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-80583-338-3

ISBN 978-1-80583-339-0 (e-Pdf)

© the individual authors and Archaeopress 2026

Cover: Traditional building in Abejuela, Spain

This publication has received funding from the grant 'CIAORG/2022/140, Subvenciones para la organización y difusión de congresos, jornadas y reuniones científicas, tecnológicas, humanísticas o artísticas de carácter internacional de carácter internacional, Conselleria de Innovación, Universidades, Ciencia y Sociedad Digital, Generalitat Valenciana.



INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO
DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN
ARQUEOLOGÍA Y
PATRIMONIO HISTÓRICO
UNIVERSIDAD DE ALICANTE



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures and Tables.....	iii
Ethnoarchaeology of buildings: Introductory notes on the global research landscape.....	ix
María Pastor Quiles	
Ethnoarchaeology of domestic buildings in Egypt: A tool for the knowledge of the past and the present....	1
María Correas Amador	
Unearthing the past: A comprehensive exploration of earthen architecture and ethnoarchaeology in the Egyptian Delta	15
Marta Lorenzon, Jay Silverstein, Robert J. Littman	
How was an Iron Age oven built, used and maintained? Contributions from ethnoarchaeological observations in Al Hoceima area (Rif, Morocco).....	32
Maria Carme Belarte; Maria Anguera; Marta Mateu; María Pastor Quiles	
Huts within History: The building of domestic space among indigenous groups in the borderlands of Western Ethiopia.....	47
Álvaro Falquina Aparicio	
Building traditions through time in the Mazatec Highlands (Mexico). Strategies for heritage valuation and traditional knowledge recovery	64
Florencia T. Azul U. Ramírez Rodríguez; Luis Fernando Guerrero Baca	
Ethnoarchaeological study of pre-Hispanic and current granaries and the constructive forms of agricultural storage (<i>cuexcomates</i>) from the archaeological site of Xochitecatl-Cacaxtla, Tlaxcala, Mexico.....	78
Jesús Carlos Lazcano Arce	
Evidence, characterisation and the use of orchid mucilage as an adhesive and binder in archaeological and earthen built heritage.....	96
Lilian García-Alonso Alba; Luis Fernando Guerrero Baca	
‘Te voy a hacer una casa en el aire’. Ethnoarchaeology of indigenous houses in Amazonia	104
Stéphen Rostain	
Multiscale details. Technological choices and gestures in the construction of earthen roofs in the high Andean area.....	127
Jorge Tomasi	

Solid earth building in central Germany: projecting vernacular building techniques onto prehistoric finds and tracing their origins.....	145
Franziska Knoll	
Ethnoarchaeological insights into Cantabrian architecture: raw materials, construction techniques and architectural traditions	158
Lucía Ruano Posada	
Dynamic processes of abandonment: The ethnoarchaeology of buildings in Armutalan, Northwestern Anatolia	179
Stephan W.E. Blum; Jonas Abele; Murat Kaşıkçılar	
Ethnoarchaeology of buildings as a method for understanding and valuing earthen building cultures	206
Louise Cooke	

List of Figures and Tables

Ethnoarchaeology of domestic buildings in Egypt: A tool for the knowledge of the past and the present

Figure 1. A mud column holds up a canopy and is repurposed as a container in certain times of the year (Kom el Byat, Lower Egypt). Photograph by the author.....	5
Figure 2. The entrance to the city of Naqada (Upper Egypt), with walls made of its famous pots. Photograph by the author.	7
Figure 3. A staircase made of a trunk, in a technique already recorded at the site of Amarna in the 16th century BC (Dendera, Upper Egypt). Photograph by the author.	8
Figure 4. A former window has been blocked to allow less sun in and control ventilation (Buto, Lower Egypt). Photograph by the author.	9
Figure 5. Former stables now used for occasional sleeping and storage in a nearly abandoned house (Kom el Byat, Lower Egypt). Photograph by the author.	10
Figure 6. An oven shared by different families sheltered from the wind with the help of temporary structures (Surad, Lower Egypt). Photograph by the author.	11
Figure 7. A collapsed first floor has created a courtyard where now animals are kept (Kom el Naggat, Lower Egypt). Photograph by the author.	12

Unearthing the past: A comprehensive exploration of earthen architecture and ethnoarchaeology in the Egyptian Delta

Figure 1. Satellite image (4 February 2013) of Mendes, Thmuis and the surrounding towns, and the location of Tell Timai (Google Earth, modified by J. Silverstein).....	17
Figure 2. Mudbrick houses in Kafr El-Amir, 2022 (J. Silverstein).....	19
Figure 3. a) XRD analysis of a mudbrick from Tell Timai, performed by the late Dr Mohamed Ezzat Taha; b) microscopic image of a chaff impression from mudbrick samples from Street #1 (M. Lorenzon).....	21
Figure 4. Aerial view of Street #1 (top) and close-up photo of the wall deterioration, view facing east (bottom) (M. Lorenzon).....	22
Figure 5. Aerial view of Building #1 (top) and view facing north (bottom) (M. Lorenzon).....	23
Figure 6. Raw materials collected in the circle (left) and mud mixing/kneading (right) (M. Lorenzon).	25
Figure 7. Transportation of the mud mixture (M. Lorenzon).	26
Figure 8. Moulding of the mudbricks (M. Lorenzon).....	27
Figure 9. Mudbricks left to dry, with a wooden finishing trowel used to smooth the upper surface (Photo credit: Sarah Hitchens).....	27
Figure 10. Fresco from the Theban tomb of Vizier Rekhmire: New Kingdom, c. 1450 BC (Photo credit: flickr.com/mana4u, CC BY-NC-SA 2.0; modified by M. Lorenzon).....	28

How was an Iron Age oven built, used and maintained? Contributions from ethnoarchaeological observations in Al Hoceima area (Rif, Morocco)

Figure 1. a) Map of the Iberian Peninsula and north of Africa showing the area of the Iberian Culture and the location of the Al Hoceima National Park (map background: freeworldmaps.net, modified). b) Map of the Mediterranean coast of the Iberian Peninsula, with the location of the sites mentioned in Figure 3 (map background: H. Bohbot, modified).....	33
Figure 2. Two ovens at Alorda Park (Calafell, Tarragona). a) Remains of oven FR6024. b) Oven FR8393, with the dome reconstructed in situ.....	35
Figure 3. Data on archaeological ovens from the Iberian period interpreted as domestic (for references see https://doi.org/10.34810/data775).....	36
Figure 4. Example of traditional Riffian oven. a) View from the street. b) Oven 3 in use.	39
Figure 5. Main characteristics of the nine ovens visited at the PNAH in 2024. Height was measured from the top of the podium to the top of the oven. The diameter was measured from the frontal opening to the back. For the location, the commune is indicated, not the specific douar, to maintain the owners' anonymity.....	40
Figure 6. Details of the different parts of the ovens. a) Oven 9, whose dome still lacked the external earthen layer. b) The podium of Oven 3, which is hollow and is used as a rabbit hutch. c) Interior view of the dome of Oven 3, whose inside is built entirely of bricks. d) Interior view of the dome of Oven 7, whose inside is built entirely of earth. Its combustion surface is made of clay without straw. e) View of the ledge of Oven 3 while in use.	41
Figure 7. Examples of covering systems for the oven openings. a) View of Oven 7, which possesses two side handles and a ceramic (up) and a square metallic (down) removable lid. b) Ceramic lid of Oven 6 made by reusing a tajine. c) Metallic lid of Oven 3 with a rounded shape.....	42

Huts within History: The building of domestic space among indigenous groups in the borderlands of Western Ethiopia

Figure 1. Current distribution of non-hierarchical societies on the Ethiopian-Sudanese frontier. Elaborated by the author.	48
Figure 2. Historical evolution of state expansion from Ethiopia and Sudan over the border between the turn of the era (A) and 17th century AD (B). The dense grid indicates the current distribution of indigenous border groups. According to González-Ruibal 2014: 55.....	48
Figure 3. Gumuz compound consisting of a group of cylindrical-walled, thatched-roof huts, Gelegu River valley (Qwara <i>woreda</i> , Amhara). Photograph by the author.....	51
Figure 4. Beehive-shaped hut in Mao-Sit Shwala village of Boshuma (Bambassi <i>woreda</i> , Benishangul-Gumuz). Photograph by the author.....	52
Figure 5. Detail of the scene showing a beehive-shaped hut on the left of the image (Connah 2016: fig. 4.9).	53
Figure 6. Gumuz hut under construction, showing the concentric structure of posts supporting the roof from the inside. Photograph by Xurxo Ayán Vila.....	55
Figure 7. Above: central pole with pedestal of clay, from which hang various valuables and ritual objects (Mandura <i>woreda</i>). Below: floor plan of a hut in the village of Bawla-Dibatsa (Dangur <i>woreda</i>). Photograph by Alfredo González-Ruibal, plan by the author.....	56
Figure 8. Plan of a Gumuz hut in Dimtu village, Kamashi Zone highlands. Plan by the author.....	59
Figure 9. Detail of the kitchen area behind a party wall at Ishgogo-Gedashola (left) and floor plan of a hut at Boshuma (right), both villages of the Mao-Sit Shwala group in the Mao-Komo special <i>woreda</i> (Benishangul-Gumuz). Photograph by Alfredo González-Ruibal, plan by the author.....	60

Building traditions through time in the Mazatec Highlands (Mexico). Strategies for heritage valuation and traditional knowledge recovery

Figure 1. Location of Mazatlán Villa de Flores, Oaxaca, Mexico. In yellow, the approximate location of communities within the municipality where fieldwork was carried out between 2022 and 2024 (originally taken from Google Earth).	65
Figure 2. Contemporary thatched-roof house in the Sierra Mazateca (Photographs from the Project AZ 14/BE/23 Gerda Henkel Foundation).....	67
Figure 3. Galvanised sheet metal roof ruined by strong winds in Mazatlán Villa de Flores, Oaxaca (Photograph of the Project AZ 14/BE/23 Gerda Henkel Foundation).	68
Figure 4. Names of house types in Huautla de Jiménez (taken from Cowan 1946).....	68
Figure 5. Example of several adobe measures in the municipality of Mazatlán Villa de Flores.....	69
Figure 6. General changes in the building traditions of Mazatlán Villa de Flores.....	72
Figure 7. Example of adobe rooms with a small external kitchen.	73
Figure 8. Cement plaster detached from the wall, creating a crack.....	73
Figure 9. Local government advertisements showing how to recognise the <i>Triatoma dimidiata</i>	74
Figure 10. Experiments with earth collected from the municipality of Mazatlán Villa de Flores.....	74
Figure 11. Workshops in Mazatlán Villa de Flores, Oaxaca.	75
Figure 12. Workshops in Mazatlán Villa de Flores, Oaxaca.	75

Ethnoarchaeological study of pre-Hispanic and current granaries and the constructive forms of agricultural storage (*cuexcomates*) from the archaeological site of Xochitecatl-Cacaxtla, Tlaxcala, Mexico

Figure 1. Location of the monumental archaeological sites of Xochitecatl-Cacaxtla and the area where the housing units are located in Nativitas, Tlaxcala, Mexico.....	82
Figure 2. In the foreground, Xochitecatl; in the background, Cacaxtla (with a roof), and in the distance, the Matlacueye volcano. Photograph: Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	83
Figure 3. A) <i>Cuexcomate</i> located east of a room in the Portico. B) <i>Cuexcomate</i> associated with a skull. C) Corn cobs made of clay (Museum of the Cacaxtla Site). D) Recreation of the <i>cuexcomate</i> 's location in relation to the rooms that form the Portico. Photograph: Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.....	84
Figure 4. A) The Great Basement of Cacaxtla. On its far right side, under the plastic cover, is the place where three state <i>cuexcomates</i> were found. Photograph: Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage. B and C) Photographs of the discovery of the state <i>cuexcomates</i> located in the third construction stage of the Great Basement. Photographs: Wall of the Cacaxtla archaeological zone, detail; 77_20140827-134500:887743; c. 1998; Documentary photographs; Cacaxtla, Tlaxcala, Mexico; 650; 950; Mediteca Collection: Photographs; Acervo Mexico Project Collection-Fototeca Nacional, Fototeca Nacional INAH and Wall of the Cacaxtla archaeological zone, detail; 77_20140827-134500:887728; c. 1998-03-06; Photos documentary; Cacaxtla, Tlaxcala, Mexico; 1986-03-06; Mediteca Collection: Photographs; Acervo: Mexico Project Collection-Fototeca Nacional, Fototeca Nacional INAH. D). Current view of the state <i>cuexcomate</i> that is restored in the Great Basement of Cacaxtla. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.....	85

Table 1. Current and pre-Hispanic work tools used for the production of <i>cuexcomates</i>	87
Figure 5. Scaffold constructed around a <i>cuexcomate</i> . Photograph located at the municipal agency of the town of Chalcatzingo, Morelos. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	88
Figure 6. Preparation of <i>zacate</i> ties for the construction of a <i>cuexcomate</i> body. Photograph located at the municipal agency of the town of Chalcatzingo, Morelos. Photograph archive Crafts Project of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Phase 2.	90
Figure 7. Layer of dry <i>zacate</i> that prevents animals from climbing and entering the <i>cuexcomate</i> . Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	91
Figure 8. A) Tlaxcalans: San Juan Totolac; Frederick Starr. 1899. Chicago. Indians of Southern Mexico: An Ethnographic Album. Plate XLVI. B) <i>Cuexcomate</i> with conical roof. Instituto Nacional de los Pueblos Indígenas INPI; Nacho López Photographic Library; Carl Lumholtz Photographic Gallery: A 19th Century Explorer; Author: Carl Lumholtz. C) Panotla, Tlaxcala; Frederick Starr. 1899. Chicago. Indians of Southern Mexico: An Ethnographic Album. Plate XLV. D) Lumholtz looking at a <i>cuexcomate</i> . Casas Grandes, Chihuahua, Mexico. Instituto Nacional de los Pueblos Indígenas INPI; Nacho López Photographic Library; Carl Lumholtz Photographic Gallery: A 19th Century Explorer; Author: Carl Lumholtz.	92
Figure 9. Three views of the roofing that covers some current <i>cuexcomates</i> in the state of Tlaxcala, Mexico. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	92
Figure 10. A) Product with use-value: <i>cuexcomate</i> associated with adobe houses, Tlacotalpan, state of Tlaxcala. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage. B) Miniature <i>cuexcomate</i> . Handicraft produced in Chalcatzingo, state of Morelos. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	93
Figure 11. Recreation of <i>cuexcomate</i> construction in the pre-Hispanic era. Ancestral and millenary knowledge. Photograph Project Crafts of Pre-Hispanic Mexico. Ethnoarchaeological Study Final Stage.	94

Evidence, characterisation and the use of orchid mucilage as an adhesive and binder in archaeological and earthen built heritage

Figure 1. Process of the elaboration of a feather mosaic. <i>Florentine Codex</i> . Volume II. folio. 65v. XVI century.	97
Figure 2. <i>Tzacutli</i> . <i>History of the Plants of New Spain</i> . XVII Century. <i>Epidendrum pastoris</i> (La Llave y Lexarza 1825). ..	98
Figure 3. Building the orchid house with the wattle and daub technique.....	99
Figure 4. Tests with orchid mucilage used as a glue for paper and as an aggregate for earthen coatings in different proportions.....	100
Figure 5. The orchid house with both epiphytes and terrestrial orchids.	101

‘Te voy a hacer una casa en el aire’. Ethnoarchaeology of indigenous houses in Amazonia

Figure 1. Location of the two case studies. The Sangay site in the Upano Valley to the west, in the Amazonian foothills of the Ecuadorian Andes. The Maillard Village on the French Guiana coastal plain to the east (drawings by S. Rostain).	105
Figure 2. Large-scale archaeological excavation on the top of an artificial earthen platform in the Sangay site and an artistic restitution of the original house (photograph and drawing by S. Rostain).	106
Figure 3. Domestic archaeological level of the Huapula culture (800–1200 CE) at the top of an artificial platform at the Sangay site (photographs by S. Rostain).	107
Figure 4. Left: interpreted domestic archaeological level of the Huapula culture at the top of an artificial platform at the Sangay site. Right: corrugated pottery jar and a millstone and its grinder found in the Huapula kitchen (photographs and drawing by S. Rostain).	110
Figure 5. Comparison between the archaeological Huapula domestic floor and the distribution of modern Achuar domestic activities (drawings by S. Rostain and in J. Zeidler 1983).	111
Figure 6. Aerial view of the abandoned Savane Maillard village (photographs by S. Rostain).	115
Figure 7. Map of the Savane Maillard features (drawing by S. Rostain).	116
Figure 8. Domestic garbage and toilets in Savane Maillard (photographs by S. Rostain).	117
Figure 9. Individual and collective wooden zoomorphic benches found in the Savane Maillard village plaza. The elongated one is painted and represents an anaconda (photograph by S. Rostain).	118
Figure 10. House ruins in Savane Maillard (photographs by S. Rostain).	120
Figure 11. Top: Shuar house in the Upano Valley (photographs by S. Rostain). Bottom: Wayana and Saramaka people building a house in the Upper Maroni (photograph by P. Frenay).	123

Multiscale details. Technological choices and gestures in the construction of earthen roofs in the high Andean area

Figure 1. Places and communities where activities related to the production of earthen roofs took place.....	131
Figure 2. Views of the <i>torta de barro</i> roof at the Casa del Marqués in Yavi (a) and the <i>guayado</i> at the Church of Susques (b).	132

Figure 3. Structure made of <i>queñoa</i> wood with rods on top in Tabladitas (a) and its combination with other species in Yanallpa (b).	133
Figure 4. Assembling a structure with <i>cardón</i> boards (a) and processing the wood (b), both in Susques.	134
Figure 5. Weaving with straw in Conchi Viejo (a) and with tola branches in Rinconada, tied with leather strings (b).	136
Figure 6. The weaving process of the <i>cortadera</i> in a historic house in Yavi (a), and the weaving process of the <i>tientos</i> mesh that supports the layer of straw in the Church of Tabladitas (b).	136
Figure 7. The work of <i>majado</i> in Cochinoa (a) and the storage of the bundles in Susques (b).	137
Figure 8. Preparation of the mud for the <i>torta de barro</i> , with the addition of water, in Uquía (a) and the pit with viscous material for the <i>guayado</i> of a house in Susques (b).	138
Figure 9. Opening of the straw to be dipped in the mud (a), and the arrangement of the <i>guayas</i> before being lifted onto the roof (b).	140
Figure 10. The technical gestures for the smoothing of the mud in the <i>torta de barro</i> process of the Church of Uquía.	141
Figure 11. Succession of movements for the placement of the <i>guayas</i> on the roof.	141

Solid earth building in central Germany: projecting vernacular building techniques onto prehistoric finds and tracing their origins

Figure 1. The map shows the central German dry region (red) and the loess areas (yellow) east of the Harz Mountains. To date, around 700 traditional massive earth buildings have been mapped during the GOLEHM survey (violet dots; see GOLEHM Atlas). There is also some prehistoric evidence of monolithic earth constructions in this area (blue rhombi). Map base: DGM 200, © GeoBasis-DE / BKG (2021); rivers: EU-Hydro River Network Database 2006-2012, Europe, https://doi.org/10.2909/393359a7-7ebd-4a52-80ac-1a18d5f3db9c ; boundaries of German states: © GeoBasis-DE / BKG (2022); traditional solid earth buildings: GOLEHM Atlas; Central German Dry region after Fabig 2007: 19.	146
Figure 2. Lettewitz, Saalekreis district, Saxony-Anhalt, a typical late baroque manor house (c. second half of the 18th century). A load-bearing cob wall rises above a stone base (porphyry) to form two full storeys. As gables are difficult to construct using the cob technique, other earthen building techniques or materials (in this case, stone masonry) were generally used. (© GOLEHM, LDA Saxony-Anhalt, F. Knoll).	147
Figure 3. Instructions for the production of cob walls from a manual printed in 1947. The mixture of wet (rye) straw and earth is applied with a fork and compacted by beating with a wooden club. When the first row (up to 80 cm high) is built and has slightly dried, the wall surface can be cut off with a spade-like tool (Henselmann 1947: 34 Fig. 16).	147
Figure 4. Abtsbessingen, formerly Kyffhäuser district, now translocated to the open-air museum at Hohenfelden, Thuringia. This traditional, newly renovated dwelling with a cob-basement and half-timbered upper floor has been dendro-dated to 1550 AD and it is one of the oldest solid earth buildings still standing (© GOLEHM, LDA Saxony-Anhalt, N. Henkel).	148
Table 1. Overview of the fired fragments of prehistoric massive earth walls from settlement sites (c.f. map Figure 1) in Saxony-Anhalt. They all date to the first millennium BC (based on radiocarbon dating and the stylistic classification of the ceramic finds) and were recovered from pit features containing debris and domestic waste. The respective number of fragments, their weight and structure are provided.	149
Figure 5. Osterwieck, Harz district. Profile section through settlement pit feature 368. The fired fragments of the massive wall(s) are clustered in the centre of the pit infill. The remains of charcoal nearby indicate a fire event (or incident) (© LDA Saxony-Anhalt, J. Filipp, Bad Bibra).	151
Figure 6. Characteristic fragments of fire-hardened massive earthen walls from: a) Wennungen: reddish wall core (crumbly appearance), covered by a bright, organic-temper plaster (right); b) Osterwieck: massive wall core (backsight right and sideview down) with a shiny surface (no coating); and c) Gröbitz: massive wall core with a bright surface that is not a paint (© LDA Saxony-Anhalt, F. Knoll & J. Filipp, Bad Bibra).	152
Figure 7. Section of a fragment from Gröbitz. No separate layers, such as a white finish or paint, are visible under the microscope. In fact, the matrix becomes lighter towards the surface, but it is still the same pure sandy loess (© LDA Saxony-Anhalt, C.-H. Wunderlich).	153
Figure 8. Thin section of the lower part of a massive wall core fragment from Wennungen (D. Gómez Gras, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona). There are no voids indicating former organic components, but there are some cracks suggesting that the loess was not intensively compacted (Knoll 2018: 232 Fig. 237).	154
Figure 9. Experimental approach: loess from the Wennungen site had been mixed with a small amount of water. After air-drying and one hour in the ceramic kiln at 800° C, the small cube was broken open, revealing a crumbly structure very similar to that of the fragments of the prehistoric fire-hardened massive earth walls (Knoll 2018: 58 Fig. 39).	154

Ethnoarchaeological insights into Cantabrian architecture: raw materials, construction techniques and architectural traditions

Figure 1. Location of examples of the different types of structures mentioned in the text: Structures with rye thatch (red): 01) Ibias, 02) Arandoxo, 03) Pelliceira, 04) Balouta, 05) Piornedo, 06) Vilarello, 07) Campo d'Agua and 08) O Cebreiro; and structures with broom thatch (yellow): 09) Brañaviecha, 10) La Pornacal, 11) Sousas, 12) Morteras de Saliencia, 13) La Corra, 14) Rebellón, 15) Murias Longas, 16) Tuiza and 17) Tromeu.....	159
Figure 2. Broom thatching: a) Braña del Rebellón and b) Braña de Tuiza (Teverga, Asturias).....	160
Figure 3. Small huts thatched with broom (<i>chozos</i>): a) diagram of a <i>chozo de horma</i> (Graña and López Álvarez 2007: 146), b) <i>chozo de horma</i> in Brañaviecha (Somiedo, Asturias), c) <i>chozo</i> of La Fonfría (León, photograph by José Ramón Lueje, 1936, courtesy of Museo del Pueblo de Asturias), d) interior view of the broom thatch (Museo del Pueblo de Asturias), e) <i>chozo de pie</i> (Museo del Pueblo de Asturias) and f) <i>chozo de pie</i> from Burín (Valdeburón, León; photograph by José Ramón Lueje, 1946; courtesy of Museo del Pueblo de Asturias).	162
Figure 4. Types of cabins (Somiedo, Asturias): a) Braña de Murias Llongas, b) Las Morteras de Saliencia, c) Braña de Sousas and d) Braña de La Pornacal.	163
Figure 5. Elements of a broom-thatched structure: a) diagram of a thatched structure (Graña and López Álvarez 2007: 124), b) dry-stone wall of a structure from Murias Llongas (Somiedo, Asturias), c-d) wooden framework of a structure from La Corra (Somiedo, Asturias) and e) repaired thatch of a structure from Murias Llongas (Somiedo, Asturias).	164
Figure 6. Social implications of broom thatching. 1) Different ways to secure a broom thatch: a) planks and logs (<i>zancas</i> and <i>xugos</i>) in La Pornacal (Somiedo, Asturias), b) logs and sticks (<i>llatas</i> and <i>gabitos</i>) in Murias Llongas (Somiedo, Asturias), c) dry logs (<i>tuerus</i>) in Tuiza (Teverga, Asturias) and d) turf (<i>tapines</i>) in Sousas (Somiedo, Asturias); 2) Collaborative structure rebuilding: <i>chozo</i> in Peñalavera (Acevedo, León, courtesy of Ángel Cimadevilla).....	166
Figure 7. Rye straw thatching: a) <i>palloza</i> in Piornedo (Lugo) and b) <i>palloza</i> in O Cebreiro (Lugo).....	168
Figure 8. a) Typology of <i>pallozas</i> (Llano 1981–1983: 195) and b) diagram of the roof framework of a <i>palloza</i> (González Fernández <i>et al.</i> 1987: 43).	170
Figure 9. Thatching a <i>palloza</i> : a) wooden leggets from Piornedo (Lugo); b) partially collapsed <i>palloza</i> in Campo d'Agua (León), with the thatch held in place by straw ropes; c) thatch secured with straw ropes in Campo d'Agua (León); d) straw cord used to lift the thatch in Campo d'Agua (León), and e) stone slabs used to lift the thatch in O Cebreiro (Lugo).	172
Figure 10. Methods for securing a rye straw thatch. <i>Teitado a paleta</i> : a) straw cord fixed with ropes and stakes (Balouta, León) and b) interwoven branches tied at the top (O Cebreiro, Lugo). <i>Teitado a baguna</i> : c) interwoven branches tied across the entire thatch (Genestoso, Asturias, photograph by Fitz Krüger, 1927, courtesy of Museo del Pueblo de Asturias) and d) mesh of interwoven branches and straw ropes secured with rocks (O Cebreiro, Lugo, photograph by Manuel Chamoso Lamas, courtesy of Real Academia Gallega de Bellas Artes).....	174

Dynamic processes of abandonment: The ethnoarchaeology of buildings in Armutalan, Northwestern Anatolia

Figure 1. Map of the western part of the central Troad.....	183
Figure 2. Location of Armutalan and its spatial relationship to the cleared areas in the surrounding region (Google Earth).	184
Figure 3. View of Armutalan from a southeastern direction (15 August 2016).	185
Figure 4. Armutalan: location of the various functional units within the cleared area.	185
Figure 5. Southern and northern parts of the village separated by a terrain cut (11 August 2017).....	186
Figure 6. View of building 1A from a southwest direction (18 August 2016).	187
Figure 7. Building 1B viewed from the west (18 August 2016).....	188
Figure 8. (a) Northwestern façade of the residential areas of building 1B (18 August 2016); (b) view of the residential areas of building 1B from the east (18 August 2016); (c) collapsed storage and utility rooms of building 1B, viewed from the south (18 August 2016); (d) collapsed condition of building 1E on 19 August 2016, viewed from the southwest.	188
Figure 9. (a) Building 1C on 19 August 2016, viewed from the west; (b) building 1C, viewed from the south (3 August 2017); (c) view of building 1D from the north-northwest on 18 August 2016; (d) masonry foundation of a freestanding dome oven (18 August 2016).	189
Figure 10. Foundation plans of building 1B with functional designation of the rooms.	190
Figure 11. Development and changes in the cleared areas around Armutalan, observed over the years (Google Earth).....	191
Figure 12. Village fountain on (a) 15 August 2015 and (b) on 24 August 2016.	192
Figure 13. Granary on (a) 8 August 2006 and (b) 19 August 2016.	193
Figure 14. (a) Condition of building 1C on 8 August 2006 and (b) on 19 August 2016; (c) southern building complex of Armutalan from a northwestern perspective on 8 August 2006 and (d) on 26 August 2016.....	194

Figure 15. Storage room in the southern part of the settlement with and without furniture, on (a) 8 August 2006 and (b) 26 August 2016.....	194
Figure 16. Various utensils and tools left inside the rooms of the southern building complex (15 August 2016).	195
Figure 17. 11 August 2017, two wooden mortars (left) that were moved to their later position after 2006.	196
Figure 18. Former kitchen/living area in the southern part of the settlement on (a) 26 August 2016 and (b) 3 August 2017; (c–d) ad hoc sleeping quarters in the northern room of building 1C (15 August 2016)...	197
Figure 19. Various objects that were introduced into the abandoned rooms at a later date (18–26 August 2016). ...	197

Ethnoarchaeology of buildings as a method for understanding and valuing earthen building cultures

Figure 1. Traditional, historical earthen building heritage in Ancient Merv, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.	208
Figure 2. Contemporary earthen building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.	208
Figure 3. Contemporary earthen building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.	209
Figure 4. Contemporary earthen building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.	210
Figure 5. Contemporary earth building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.....	211
Figure 6. Contemporary earth building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.....	212
Figure 7. Contemporary earth building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.....	213
Figure 8. Contemporary earth building culture in Ancient Merv Kolkhoz, Turkmenistan. Photo L. Cooke.....	214