

Productive and Social Practices in Prehistoric and Protohistoric Earthen Building



Productive and Social Practices in Prehistoric and Protohistoric Earthen Building

Edited by

María Pastor Quiles, Lucía Ruano Posada,
Alejandra Sánchez-Polo, Marta Mateu Sagués

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY



ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

13-14 Market Square

Bicester

Oxfordshire OX26 6AD

United Kingdom

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978-1-80583-324-6

ISBN 978-1-80583-325-3 (e-Pdf)

© the individual authors and Archaeopress 2026

Cover: Fallen wall of reed-impressed daub from room A11 at Early Iron Age Sant Jaume d'Alcanar, Catalonia
(© Marta Mateu, David Garcia i Rubert and Sonia Carbonell Pastor).

This publication has received funding from the project CIGE/2022/54 – Sistemas constructivos modulares en la arquitectura de tierra de la Prehistoria Reciente de la península ibérica, Conselleria de Innovación, Universidades, Ciencia y Sociedad Digital, Generalitat Valenciana.



INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO
DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN
ARQUEOLOGÍA Y
PATRIMONIO HISTÓRICO
UNIVERSIDAD DE ALICANTE



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Contents

List of Figures and Tables.....	ii
The Social and Gender Fabric of Mud: Reviewing Earthen Architecture in Archaeology. Introduction to “Productive and Social Practices in Prehistoric and Protohistoric Earthen Building”	1
María Pastor Quiles, Lucía Ruano Posada, Alejandra Sánchez-Polo and Marta Mateu	
Macroscopic Evidence for the Use of Adobes at Minoan Malia (Bronze Age Crete)	11
Maud Devolder	
Production, Storage and Sustainability: The Use of Earthen and Sunken Architecture at Late Bronze Age Erimi-Pitharka, Cyprus	25
Lærke Recht and Katarzyna Zeman-Wiśniewska	
Interactions and Continuity: Characterising Earthen Building Techniques of the Iberian Northern Plateau in a Transitional Period (Late Bronze Age–Early Iron Age).....	39
Alejandra Sánchez-Polo and Lucía Ruano Posada	
‘Tell Me How You Build and I Will Tell You Who You Are’: Earthen Construction Techniques at Sant Jaume d’Alcanar (Catalonia, NE Iberian Peninsula) and Their Cultural Attribution.....	53
Marta Mateu, David Garcia i Rubert and Sonia Carbonell Pastor	
Early Neolithic Earth Mortar in the Iberian Peninsula: Chaff Tempering, Manufacture and Functionality in Domestic Structures	68
Magdalena Gómez-Puche and Ana Polo-Díaz	
Constructions in Raw Earth in the Bronze Age Navetiforms in Mallorca (Spain): A Microstratigraphic View of Naveta I at Closos de Can Gaià	88
M. Mercè Bergadà, Joan Carbonell-Roca, Marta Mateu, David Javaloyas-Molina, Bartomeu Salvà-Simonet and Gabriel Servera-Vives	
Rare Earth Elements Marking Anthropic Soil Development at the Geoglyphs of Acre (Brazil)	107
Gianni Gallelo, Mirco Ramacciotti, Ivandra Rampanelli, Sonia López-Melón, Fernando Ferreira, Jacó César Piccoli, Ángel Morales-Rubio, Laura Bartual Moreno, Adriana Serra-Meléndez, Carlos Arnau-Félix and Agustín Díez-Castillo	
A Geometric and Constructive Approach to Self-Supporting Domes and Vaults in the Architecture of the Ancient Near East.....	129
Luis Miguel Carranza Peco, Manuel Fortea Luna, Esther Rodríguez González and Sebastián Celestino Pérez	
Building a Mesolithic Hut. A Social Interaction Analysis of Coordinated Engagement with the Material Environment.....	144
Antje Wilton and Kenan Hochuli	
The EARTHERITAGE Project. Earthen Heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean between Archaeology and Sustainability	156
Marialucia Amadio, Luca Bombardieri, Ivano Rellini, Sabina Ghislandi and Maria Luisa Germanà	

List of Figures and Tables

Macroscopic Evidence for the Use of Adobes at Minoan Malia (Bronze Age Crete) – Maud Devolder

Figure 1.	a) Location of Malia in Crete (EFA/L. Fadin and IMS-FORTH/M. Devolder); b) schematic plan of the Palace with preserved mudbrick walls shown in black (EFA/M. Devolder, after E. Andersen); c–d) views of Neopalatial mudbrick walls in the Palace (EFA/M. Devolder); e) view of Prepalatial mudbrick walls in the Palace (EFA/M. Devolder); f) elevation and g) plan of Protopalatial walls in the Eastern Magazines of the Palace (EFA/M. Devolder)	12
Table 1.	Chronological framework and occupation patterns at Malia throughout the Early, Middle and Late Bronze Age (EBA, MBA and LBA).....	13
Figure 2.	a) Detail of mud coating incorporating straw in the Palace (EFA/M. Devolder); b) general view of the Mu Quarter (EFA/J.-C. Poursat [R3274]); c) plan of the Mu Quarter (EFA/M. Schmid); d) mudbrick partition next to the lustral basin in House Delta Alpha (EFA/unknown author [R5294]) and e) mudbrick platform in House Zêta Bêta (EFA/unknown author)	15

Production, Storage and Sustainability: The Use of Earthen and Sunken Architecture at Late Bronze Age Erimi-Pitharka, Cyprus – Lærke Recht and Katarzyna Zeman-Wiśniewska

Figure 1.	a) Location of Erimi-Pitharka on Cyprus. Adapted from Google Earth. b) Area I and Area 1A. The eastern and northern trenches of the new excavations are visible outside the modern cement wall (Area 1A), while the area inside the wall contains the earlier Department of Antiquities excavations (Area I, now backfilled). The light grey–almost white–visible across most of the area of the excavated trenches is the <i>kafkalla</i> -bedrock, while the light orange-red patches designate deteriorated mudbrick debris within the interior of rooms. Drone photo from the end of the 2022 excavation season. Photograph by A. Villani	27
Figure 2.	a) Eastern trenches of Area 1A, as seen at the end of the 2022 excavations season. Note the clear carving of the bedrock associated with W4, F2, F3 and F4. b) View of Walls 3 and 2, from the east. Photographs by A. Villani and M. Schutti	28
Figure 3.	a) Northern trenches of Area 1A, as seen at the end of the 2023 excavation season. b) Wall 7, from the south, interior of Room 101 during excavation. c) Wall 11, from the east, interior of Room 101 at the end of excavation. d) Mudbrick lump within the debris. Photographs by L. Recht	29
Figure 4.	Interior of “Cave 1” at Erimi-Pitharka. Note that conservation work has been carried out, most notably in the wooden floors and the reinforcement of walls, but the layout of the chambers has been preserved. Photographs by L. Recht	35

Interactions and Continuity: Characterising Earthen Building Techniques of the Iberian Northern Plateau in a Transitional Period (Late Bronze Age–Early Iron Age) – Alejandra Sánchez-Polo and Lucía Ruano Posada

Figure 1.	Map of the area under study. 1) Fuente la Mora (Valladolid, Spain). 2) Sacaojos (León, Spain). 3) Los Barahones (Valdegama, Palencia). 4) Dessobriga (Osorno, Palencia, and Melgar de Fernamental, Burgos) (© ArchTerra Research Group)	41
Table 1.	General description of the studied earthen fragments.....	42
Figure 2.	Fuente la Mora (Valladolid, Spain): A) Plan of sectors 1 and 2 and a general map of the area (modified from Strato 2009). B) Earthen remains found in pits at the site (© ArchTerra Research Group)	43
Figure 3.	Sacaojos (León, Spain) hillfort. A) Plan of the site (modified from Misiego <i>et al.</i> 1999: fig. 3). B) Earthen remains from the site: a & b from pit 18, and c & d from pit 24 (© ArchTerra Research Group)	44
Figure 4.	Los Barahones (Valdegama, Palencia): A) Plan of Zone 5 (Barril Vicente 1995). B) Earthen remains: a) Fragment of wattle-and-daub wall. b) Fragment of lattice-and-daub wall. c) Fragment of clay box (© ArchTerra Research Group)	45
Figure 5.	Dessobriga (Osorno, Palencia, and Melgar de Fernamental, Burgos): A) Plan of the lower area (Misiego Tejeda <i>et al.</i> 2003). B) Earthen remains: a) Fragment of wattle-and-daub wall. b) Fragment with red coating. c) Fragment of clay container. d) Hand-made mudbrick (© ArchTerra Research Group)	46
Table 2.	Key points in continuity, transformation and innovation in earthen building traditions between the LBA and the EIA	48

‘Tell Me How You Build and I Will Tell You Who You Are’: Earthen Construction Techniques at Sant Jaume d’Alcanar (Catalonia, NE Iberian Peninsula) and Their Cultural Attribution – Marta Mateu, David Garcia i Rubert and Sonia Carbonell Pastor

- Figure 1. Map of Sant Jaume and other contemporary sites within the Sant Jaume Complex (SJC), using a 2 m-resolution DTM from the Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN) as a base. Below, an orthophotograph of Sant Jaume showing the locations of the rooms discussed in this article. GRAP archive 55
- Figure 2. Building stratigraphy: a) Debris from the upper floor (earthen fragments, stones and archaeological material) (A7). b) Charcoal and ashes from the upper floor and its roof beams (A3). c) A11 ground floor with a combustion structure in the centre of the room. d) Preparation of the floor with pebbles and blocks (A11). All images GRAP archive 57
- Figure 3. Earthen building elements: a) Fragments of walls where we can see some painted and others with non-reed imprints (palm leaves can be seen). b) Fallen wall of reeds and daub (A11); c) Cross-section of different earthen construction elements. d) Images of upper-floor fragments clearly showing the reeds and some impressions of the ropes that connected them. e) Scan of the thin sections used in the micromorphological study with the microphotographs showing the sandy groundmass, with vegetal temper. All images GRAP Archive and Mateu 61

Early Neolithic Earth Mortar in the Iberian Peninsula: Chaff Tempering, Manufacture and Functionality in Domestic Structures – Magdalena Gómez-Puche and Ana Polo-Díaz

- Figure 1. Archaeological context and earth mortar artefacts analysed. A. Left: location of the sites studied in the Iberian Peninsula: 1) Mas d’Is. 2) Los Cascajos. Right: chronological range of occupation (Bernabeu *et al.* 2003; García *et al.* 2011) using summed probability distribution. Oxcal v. 4.4, IntCal20 (Reimer *et al.* 2020). B. Mas d’Is. Top left: digital terrain model (DTM) showing the settlement layout (Bernabeu *et al.* 2012); top right: House 1 layout (Bernabeu *et al.* 2003); bottom: earth mortar fragment of mobile domestic oven/heater (Bernabeu *et al.* 2003) to which the samples analysed (MID39 and MID43) belong. Scale is 5 cm. Note the whitish thin coating on the inner and outer walls and the base of the feature. C. Los Cascajos. Top: combustion structure in the field and plan of the site showing the distribution of structures (García and Sesma 1999); bottom: earth mortar fragment sampled for micromorphological analysis (LC325). Scale is 10 cm (Gómez-Puche unpublished) 70
- Figure 2. Left: flatbed scans of thin sections MID43 (top) and LC325 (bottom). Lime layer in MID43 and calcitic crust in LC325 (arrows). Note the highly porous groundmass of MID43 from chaff tempering (scale = 1 cm). Right: images of microscopic features. A: MID43. General view of chaff-tempered groundmass. Note angular morphology and edges of moldic voids (m) compared to vughs (v) (PPL). B: MID43. Detail of moldic void from grass inflorescence bract. Note multicellular structure of articulated, elongate dendritic and papillate cells affected by combustion (PPL). C: MID39. Contact between MFT1 (at the top) and MFT2 (at the bottom). Note concentration of very fine to fine light and dark brown sands (l) (lime lumps), embedded in a light brown matrix. Note also moldic void (m) and burnt fossil relicts (f) (PPL). D: MID39. Detail of lime lumps in MFT1. Left: mixture of aggregates displaying microcrystalline groundmass (l) with high (bright) and low (dark) birefringence (XPL). Right: brown-greyish microcrystalline aggregates (l) embedded in a light brown matrix. Note burnt calcitic microfossil—marl planktonic foraminifer—(f) (PPL). E: LC325. General view of the groundmass. Calcitic micritic crust at the top (c) and chaff-tempered matrix at the bottom showing moldic voids (m). Note indistinct plant residue inside moldic voids and subhorizontal fissures (PPL and XPL). F: LC325. Detail of rotation feature (p) in MFT2 (PPL) 73
- Table 1. Description of the main macroscopic and microscopic features of samples MID39 and MID43 from Mas d’Is and LC325 from Los Cascajos. Interpretation and relevant contextual information are also detailed 74

Constructions in Raw Earth in the Bronze Age Navetiforms in Mallorca (Spain): A Microstratigraphic View of Naveta I at Closos de Can Gaià – M. Mercè Bergadà, Joan Carbonell-Roca, Marta Mateu, David Javaloyas-Molina, Bartomeu Salvà-Simonet and Gabriel Servera-Vives

- Figure 1. Closos de Can Gaià (Felanitx-Portocolom, Mallorca). a) General geographical situation and location of the Closos site. b) Aerial view of the five navetas. c) Orthophoto of naveta I. d) Phase 1 (c. 1550–1000 BC). Spatial distribution and light entrance reconstruction in the interior of the naveta, showing

	little light in the apse area. e) Phase 2 (c. 1000–850 BC). Spatial distribution and light entrance reconstruction in the interior of the naveta, lighting is significantly reduced	91
Figure 2.	Micromorphological sampling of Naveta I at Closos de Can Gaià. a) General view of the sampled profiles. Detail of stone floor (SU 15). b) Profile 1: SU 33 (sample 1) and SU 35 (sample 2). c) Profile 2: SU 34 (sample 3). d) Profile 3: SU 9 (sample 4) and SU 36 and SU 37 (sample 5)	92
Figure 3.	Quaternary substrate (SU 37) and floor preparation layer (SU 36) from phase 1 (c. 1550–1000 BC). a) Overview of the transmitted light scan thin section showing the units and the location of the following images. b) SU 37, silty clays with quartz sands (XPL). c) SU 37, residues of organic material marked with red arrows (PPL). d) SU 37, general view, showing the groundmass with calcitic hypocoatings (XPL). e) SU 37, detail of a calcitic hypocoating (XPL). f) SU 36, bone fragment (PPL). g) SU 36, pottery fragment (P) in inclined position in a biopore. h) SU 36, fragment of raw earth microaggregate (red discontinuous lines) and loose discontinuous infillings (black arrows) typical of biological activity. i) Detail of the previous image, with moldic voids (white arrows) (PPL)	94
Figure 4.	Floor preparation layer and floor (SU 35) from phase 2 (c. 1000–850 BC), rear area of the naveta. a) Transmitted light scan of SU 35 thin section. The floor (SU 35a) and the floor preparation layer (SU 35b) are indicated. On the right, the raw earth balls are partially visible (dashed lines). The location of the following images is shown. b) SU 35b, raw earth microaggregates in groundmass (red arrows) (OIL). c) SU 35a, silica phytoliths included in the groundmass inside the ball (arrows) (PPL). d) SU 35a, anthropogenic components used as tempering agents such as raw earth microaggregate (RE), bone (B) and shell (S). Detail of bone (B), in PPL and XPL, and shell (S) in PPL; e) SU 35a, detail of arrow pointing to a moldic void typical of a plant debris (PPL). f) Subrounded raw earth aggregate with a curvilinear fissure and partially convolute morphology, <i>colombin</i> (PPL)	95
Figure 5.	Floor and Human activity surface (SU 9) from phase 2 (c. 1000–850 BC), front area of the naveta. a) Transmitted light scan of SU 9 thin section. The human activity surface (SU 9a) and the floor (SU 9b) are indicated, in which the ball modelling is shown (dashed lines). b) Type I, raw earth microaggregates, number 1 in the black box in a). c) Type I, detail of raw earth microaggregate with some vesicles (V). d) Type II, raw earth microaggregate, number 2 in a) (PPL). e) Same as d) (XPL). f) Type III, raw earth microaggregate, number 3 in a). Vesicular voids (V) are observed (PPL). g) Detail of a moldic void with elongate dendritic phytoliths (grasses) (arrow), in the black in f). h) Detail of the human activity surface, active zone (SU 9a). Charcoal remains (CH) and the detrital fraction, calcarenite (C) and limestone (L) show an oriented and horizontal distribution. It appears with horizontal and vertical fissuring denoting strong compaction, possibly due to trampling, in the black box in a)	96
Figure 6.	Raw earth aggregates from different SUs. a) Transmitted light scan of SU 36: b) reddish silty clays with sands with vesicular and moldic voids (PPL) and c) (XPL). d) Transmitted light scan of SU 9: e) detail of the groundmass with some possible burned bones (B) used as temper (PPL) and f) (XPL). g) Transmitted light scan of SU 35: h) detail of the interior of a moldic void with elongate dendritic phytoliths (grasses) by arrows (PPL) and i) detail of the groundmass with a predominance of silty clays with clay hypocoatings in voids, indicated by arrows (XPL). j) Transmitted light scan of SU 34. A possible imprint of a plant remains can be observed (arrows): k) detail of j) (PPL) and l) moldic voids indicating the addition of plant remains (PPL)	98
Table 1.	Micromorphological description of Naveta I at Closos de Can Gaià. Class frequencies (after Bullock <i>et al.</i> 1985; Stoops 2021): * very few (< 5 %); ** few (5–15 %); *** frequent (15–30 %); **** common (30–50 %); ***** dominant (50–70 %); and ***** very dominant (> 70 %). Class abundance of pedofeatures after (Bullock <i>et al.</i> 1985): + rare (< 2 %); ++ occasional (2–5 %); +++ many (5–10 %); ++++ abundant (10–20 %); and +++++ very abundant (> 20 %)	99

Rare Earth Elements Marking Anthropic Soil Development at the Geoglyphs of Acre (Brazil) – Gianni Gallelo, Mirco Ramacciotti, Ivandra Rampanelli, Sonia López-Melón, Fernando Ferreira, Jacó César Piccoli, Ángel Morales-Rubio, Laura Bartual Moreno, Adriana Serra-Meléndez, Carlos Arnau-Félix and Agustín Díez-Castillo

Figure 1.	Area of study and sampling section location for the geoglyphs AyF and PyN	109
Table 1.	Sampling data	114
Figure 2.	Sampled sections for the Geoglyphs AyF (top) and PyN (bottom)	115
Figure 3.	Samples/scores plot (a) of PCA and variables/loadings plots for PC1 (b) and PC2 (c)	118

Figure 4. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) for REE parameters and elemental concentrations. Non-significant coefficients ($p > 0.05$) are barred.....	119
Figure 5. Distributions of elemental concentrations and REE parameters in the four columns (outliers were not considered).....	120
Table A1.1. Concentrations of major elements and trace elements expressed as percentage (from Al to Fe) or mg/kg (from Rb to U)	125
Table A1.2. Concentrations of REE, expressed as mg/kg, and REE parameters	127

A Geometric and Constructive Approach to Self-Supporting Domes and Vaults in the Architecture of the Ancient Near East – *Luis Miguel Carranza Peco, Manuel Fortea Luna, Esther Rodríguez González and Sebastián Celestino Pérez*

Figure 1. Göbekli Tepe Enclosure D roof design, proven to be ‘implausible’ (Newman and Ainsworth 2024).....	132
Figure 2. Left: Reconstruction of the elevations and roofs of the <i>tholos</i> with stone foundations (Mallowan and Cruikshank 1935: 28). Right: Geometrical relationships and dimensions of the main hall of the Treasury of Atreus (Como 2007).....	133
Figure 3. a) Detail and section of a hemispherical dome with horizontal courses. b) Detail and section of a hemispherical dome with inclined courses	134
Figure 4. Detail and section of a dome with a square base and inclined courses	136
Figure 5. Vault of Tell al-Rimah. Reproduced from the original by Oates (1970: 21-22, 1990: 402 fig. 7).....	136
Figure 6. Detail of the geometric figures that comprise the vault of Tell al-Rimah.....	137
Figure 7. Detail and section of the Mesopotamian vault	137
Figure 8. Hypothetical evolutionary stages from the Mesopotamian vault to the Nubian vault	138
Figure 9. Construction stages of a Nubian vault	139

Building a Mesolithic Hut. A Social Interaction Analysis of Coordinated Engagement with the Material Environment – *Antje Wilton and Kenan Hochuli*

Figure 1. Multimodal transcript of Extract I: defining the outline. Transcription conventions for these videos are provided in Appendix I	148
Figure 2. Multimodal transcript of Extract II: joining the roof	150
Table 1. Transcription conventions, adapted from Selting <i>et al.</i> (2011) and Mondada (2016)	155

The EARTHERITAGE Project. Earthen Heritage in the Eastern Mediterranean between Archaeology and Sustainability – *Marialucia Amadio, Luca Bombardieri, Ivano Rellini, Sabina Ghislandi and Maria Luisa Germanà*

Figure 1. Aerial photograph of Middle Bronze Age Erimi, in the Kouris Valley, Cyprus (Erimi Archaeological Project Archive)	159
Figure 2. Graphs showing the three intertwined research approaches applied in this study	159
Figure 3. Macroscopic analysis and documentation of mudbrick samples during fieldwork activities (EARTHERITAGE Project Archive)	160
Figure 4. Micromorphological sampling of a paleosol buried by a <i>Havara</i> deposit in the Kouris Valley during the geoarchaeological activities (EARTHERITAGE Project Archive).....	161