

NORTH WEST WETLANDS SURVEY 2

THE WETLANDS OF  
**Greater Manchester**

D Hall  
C E Wells  
E Huckerby

With contributions by

A Mayer  
C Cox

GIS mapping by

J T Dodds

LANCASTER  
IMPRINTS

1995

Published by  
Lancaster University Archaeological Unit  
Storey Institute  
Meeting House Lane  
Lancaster  
LA1 1TH

(Phone: 01524 848666; Fax: 01524 848606)

(E-Mail: C.Wells@lancs.ac.uk; J.Dodds@lancs.ac.uk)

(Internet —World Wide Web URL: <http://www.lancs.ac.uk/>)

Further details of the North West Wetlands Survey can be obtained from the LUAU Home Page at the above address

Distributed by  
Oxbow Books  
Park End Place  
Oxford  
OX1 1HN  
(Phone: 01865 241249; Fax: 01865 794449)

Printed by  
Kent Valley Colour Printers, Kendal, Cumbria

© Greater Manchester Archaeological Unit and Lancaster University Archaeological Unit

ISBN 0 901800 80 5  
ISSN 1343-5205

NWWS Series editor  
Rachel Newman  
Copy editor  
Martin Lister  
Indexer  
Peter B Gunn  
Design  
R Middleton  
Layout and production  
R Middleton and R A Parkin

Front Cover: Carrington Moss from the south showing the River Mersey, Irlam, and Chat Moss (top left)  
(Photo: Sky Comm 1/5/1994 (neg no CCN 000 700))

LANCASTER  
IMPRINTS

Lancaster Imprints is the publication series of Lancaster University Archaeological Unit. The series covers work on major excavations and surveys of all periods undertaken by the Unit and associated organisations.

## Contents

List of illustrations .....	v
Abbreviations .....	vii
Contributors .....	vii
Acknowledgements .....	viii
Foreword .....	ix
1 THE NORTH WEST WETLANDS SURVEY .....	1
Threats to the archaeological resource .....	2
Aims and objectives of the survey .....	3
Geographic Information System .....	3
Future management of the wetlands .....	4
2 THE WETLANDS OF GREATER MANCHESTER .....	5
Organisation of the Manchester data .....	5
Geology of the region .....	8
Previous research and preparation for the present study .....	9
Archaeological field survey .....	10
Figures and presentation of results .....	14
Aerial photography .....	15
Palaeoecological survey .....	15
The archive .....	18
3 CHAT MOSS .....	19
Previous archaeological finds .....	19
Recent land-use of Chat Moss .....	23
Field survey and mapping in 1992 and 1993 .....	26
Palaeoecological evidence .....	29
4 THE LARGER MOSSES .....	63
Ashton Moss .....	63
Carrington Moss .....	71
Kearsley Moss .....	82
Red Moss .....	86
5 THE SMALL MOSSES .....	101
Chew Moor .....	101
Highfield Moss .....	102
Hopwood Hall Moss .....	105
Marland Moss .....	107
Royton Moss .....	108
Warburton Moss .....	110
White Moss .....	112
6 THE ARCHAEOLOGY AND PALAEOENVIRONMENT OF THE MANCHESTER WETLANDS .....	115
Early prehistoric .....	115
Neolithic and Bronze Age .....	117
The Iron Age .....	118
The Roman period .....	119
Saxon .....	120
Medieval .....	120
Historical descriptions and management of the mosses .....	124
Drainage of the mosses .....	126

Conclusions of the Greater Manchester survey .....	127
Glossary .....	131
Appendix 1: Gazetteer of mosses .....	137
Appendix 2: Greater Manchester radiocarbon dates .....	157
Appendix 3: Aerial photographic interpretation in Greater Manchester .....	159
Appendix 4: Wetland sites in Greater Manchester .....	163
Appendix 5: Artefacts associated with Manchester wetlands .....	165
Bibliography .....	167
Index .....	175

## List of illustrations

### Figures

1	The North West Wetlands Survey region .....	x
2	Greater Manchester: modern districts and extent of urban build-up .....	6
3	Greater Manchester: historic settlements and topography .....	7
4	Greater Manchester: historic mosses .....	12
5	The area of field survey in Greater Manchester .....	13
6	Chat Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	<i>rear of volume</i>
7	Chat Moss: transect locations .....	31
8	Terrain model of the Chat Moss peats .....	32
9	Chat Moss: east-west transect .....	34
10	Chat Moss: south-north transect 1 .....	35
11	Chat Moss: south-north transect 2 .....	36
12	Chat Moss: south-north transect 3 .....	37
13	Chat Moss: south-north transect 4 .....	38
14	Chat Moss: west-east charcoal distribution .....	39
15	Chat Moss: south-north charcoal distribution 1 .....	40
16	Chat Moss: south-north charcoal distribution 2 .....	41
17	Chat Moss: south-north charcoal distribution 3 .....	42
18	Chat Moss: south-north charcoal distribution 4 .....	43
19	Chat Moss: Probable mesotopes .....	44
20	Chat Moss: Barton Moss macrofossil diagram .....	46
21	Chat Moss: Worsley Farm macrofossil diagram .....	48
22	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 3 percentage pollen diagram—selected taxa .....	53
23	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 1 percentage pollen diagram—selected taxa .....	54
24	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 2 percentage pollen diagram—selected taxa .....	55
25	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 3 macrofossil diagram .....	56
26	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 1 macrofossil diagram .....	56
27	Chat Moss: Nook Farm 2 macrofossil diagram .....	56
28	Chat Moss: Nook Farm summary percentage pollen diagrams .....	59
29	Ashton Moss: transect location and peat extent .....	65
30	Ashton Moss: transect A .....	67
31	Ashton Moss: transect B .....	68
32	Ashton Moss: transect C .....	69
33	Ashton Moss: transect D .....	70
34	Carrington Moss and Urmston E's: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent with boreholes .....	72
35	Carrington Moss: transect A .....	76
36	Carrington Moss: transect B .....	76
37	Carrington Moss: transect C .....	77
38	Carrington Moss: transect D .....	77
39	Carrington Moss: transect E .....	78
40	Carrington Moss: transect A charcoal distribution .....	79
41	Carrington Moss: transect B charcoal distribution .....	79
42	Carrington Moss: transect C charcoal distribution .....	80
43	Carrington Moss: transect D charcoal distribution .....	80
44	Carrington Moss: transect E charcoal distribution .....	81
45	Kearsley Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	84
46	Red Moss and Aspull Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent with boreholes .....	87
47	Red Moss: transect A .....	91
48	Red Moss: transect B .....	92
49	Red Moss: transect C .....	93
50	Red Moss: transect D .....	94
51	Red Moss: transect A charcoal distribution .....	95

52	Red Moss: transect B charcoal distribution .....	96
53	Red Moss: transect C charcoal distribution .....	97
54	Red Moss: transect D charcoal distribution .....	98
55	Chew Moor: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	102
56	Highfield Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	104
57	Heywood, Hopwood Hall, and Marland Mosses: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	106
58	Royton and Shaw Mosses: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	109
59	Warburton Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat and skirtland .....	111
60	White Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	112
61	Parishes and townships in Greater Manchester .....	121
62	Black Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	141
63	Cockey Moor: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	143
64	Hulton Moor: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	147
65	Siddal Moor: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	151
66	Unsworth Moss: land-use, fieldwork, and peat extent .....	153

*A key for Figures 6, 29, 34, 45, 46, 55—60, and 62—66 is located on a flap on the inside of the back cover*

### Plates

1	Bryn Moss in 1843 .....	11
2	Chat Moss showing typical ploughed peats .....	20
3	Perforated hammer-stone from the Manchester Ship Canal at Irlam .....	21
4	Bronze spearhead from the Manchester Ship Canal at Irlam .....	21
5	Roman skull from Worsley Moss .....	22
6	Hand peat-cutting at Chat Moss, 19th July 1916 .....	25
7	Chat Moss, Nook Farm quarry and exposed sand knoll .....	27
8	The M62 motorway which cuts across the southern part of Chat Moss .....	30
9	Block cutting of peat in the central deep peat basin of Chat Moss .....	33
10	The Bedford Moss component of the Chat Moss complex .....	45
11	The lithic scatter site at Nook Farm .....	51
12	Ashton Moss showing the peats overlying the deepest basin .....	64
13	Ashton Moss showing the urban development encroaching its margins .....	66
14	Carrington Moss showing the surrounding industrial development .....	74
15	Hand-cut peat blocks at Red Moss .....	88
16	Antler pick and Roman quernstone from Red Moss .....	89
17	Large bifacially-worked dagger from near Highfield Moss .....	103
18	Hopwood Hall Moss basin mire .....	107
19	White Moss .....	113
20	Astley region in 1845 .....	123

### Tables

1	Von Post humification scale .....	17
2	Radiocarbon dates from Nook Farm, Chat Moss .....	52

## Abbreviations

EH	English Heritage
ESA	Environmentally Sensitive Area
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMAU	Greater Manchester Archaeological Unit
LRO	Lancashire Record Office
LUAU	Lancaster University Archaeological Unit
NERC	National Environmental Research Council
NWWS	North West Wetlands Survey
OS	Ordnance Survey
OD	Ordnance Datum
PRO	Public Record Office
SMR	Sites and Monuments Record
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest

## Contributors

Chris Cox  
Air Photo Services, 7 Edward Street, Cambridge CB1 2LS

John Dodds  
Archaeological Unit, Lancaster University, Storey Institute, Lancaster LA1 1TH

David Hall  
Fenland Project, Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3DZ

Elizabeth Huckerby  
NWWS, Lancaster University Archaeological Unit, IEBS, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YW

Adele Mayer  
Greater Manchester Archaeological Unit, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL

Colin Wells  
NWWS, Lancaster University Archaeological Unit, IEBS, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YW

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the following for help, criticism and advice during the preparation of this volume:

Dr Charles French, University of Cambridge, and Dr Peter Moore, University of London. Bryony Coles, Chair NWWS, and Gerry Friell and Tony Wilmott of English Heritage. The staff of the Greater Manchester Archaeological Unit including its former Director, Phil Mayes, and Robina McNeil, Norman Redhead, and Dr Michael Nevell. Barri Jones, Department of Archaeology, University of Manchester, and Chris Perkins of Manchester University Geography Library. Greater Manchester Geological Survey.

Dr GT Cook and staff at the Scottish Universities' Research and Reactor Centre, Dr Alex Bayliss (Ancient Monuments Laboratory, English Heritage) for advice on Nook Farm radiocarbon dates, Mike Peace (stratigraphic survey), Ruth Parkin, and Bob Middleton (illustrations). The farmers to whom we are obliged for their friendly co-operation are too numerous to mention individually, but in particular we thank those at Carrington Moss, Chat Moss, Hollins Moss (Chew Moor), Red Moss and Siddall Moor. Thanks are due to the landholders of these areas, who include Peel Holdings, Land Improvement Group, Lancashire Wildlife Trust and Fisons plc. For Hopwood, to members of the Healey Dell environment team who escorted us across Hopwood Moss. Shell UK, Property Division, for access to land at Carrington, and John Headley and the staff of Local Peat Products Ltd., for co-operation and interest in our activities at the Nook Farm site, Chat Moss. Michelle Young and Selina Hill of English Nature, North-West Region, gave permission to survey at Red Moss, and Bolton Metropolitan Borough Council gave access to Red Moss.

Rog Palmer (Air Photo Services), staff of the Record Offices and Local History Study Units at Manchester Central Library, Chetham's Library, and Salford Art Gallery and Museum, Andrew Cross (Irlam Archive Office), Nicholas Webb (Wigan Archives Office), Lancashire Record Office, Cheshire Record Office, Trafford Local History Library, Hannah Haynes (Middleton and Heywood Local Studies Library), Sandy Roydes (Hopwood College), Alan Davies (Salford Mining Museum), Dr John Prag (Manchester Museum), Angela Thomas (Bolton Museum), Alan Leigh (Warrington Museum), and Judith Sandling (Salford Art Gallery).

## Foreword

For many people, wetland archaeology has strong associations with lake villages, trackways, and bog bodies, but readers of the present volume will find few references to these traditional categories of evidence. Instead, they will be introduced to an industrialised wetland landscape and perhaps to the unexpected survival of deep peats and of palaeoenvironmental sequences alongside the fast disappearing physical remains of peatland industrial archaeology. Fieldwork in these conditions demands ingenuity, experience, and determination, and only those readers who have worked in similar conditions will perhaps fully appreciate the efforts put in by the two principal authors, David Hall and Colin Wells, to assemble the evidence presented here.

The wetness of the Greater Manchester region from the later prehistoric period onwards, and its subsequent key role in the early modern industrialisation of Britain, have conspired to make traditional archaeological survey difficult because of the low level of human activity from the Mesolithic to the medieval times has been masked rather than revealed by the recent and current land-use. The challenge of working in these conditions has stimulated the NWWS team and their helpers to explore every possible means of recovering evidence for past conditions and human activity, and we can be confident that the picture given here is representative.

One intriguing question raised by the results of the survey is that of the inter-relationship between the Manchester wetlands and the inception of industrialisation. Did the mires make a positive contribution, in the form of resources required by the developing industries? Or did they simply, as large marginal areas not claimed by agriculture, provide a setting for development once the technological difficulties of transport and drainage has been mastered? These questions are not the normal concern of wetland archaeologists, but they are proper to the Manchester context, and they underline the individuality of the human response to wetlands in this region. Neither in prehistory nor in historic times have the Greater Manchester wetlands been perceived and exploited quite according to our general models which are based largely on evidence from the Fens and the Somerset Levels.

In the long run, one of the most important results of the current survey will be the conclusive demonstration of the diversity of human responses to wetland environments in the past, responses influenced by the prevailing environmental conditions and compounded by the economic, technological, and social demands and capabilities of the local people.

Bryony Coles  
Chair, North West Wetlands Survey