

BRONZE AGE TELL COMMUNITIES IN CONTEXT

AN EXPLORATION INTO CULTURE,
SOCIETY, AND THE STUDY OF
EUROPEAN PREHISTORY

PART 1: CRITIQUE
EUROPE AND THE MEDITERRANEAN

Tobias L. Kienlin

ARCHAEOPRESS ARCHAEOLOGY

ARCHAEOPRESS PUBLISHING LTD

Gordon House
276 Banbury Road
Oxford OX2 7ED

www.archaeopress.com

ISBN 978 1 78491 147 8
ISBN 978 1 78491 148 5(e-Pdf)

© Archaeopress and T L Kienlin 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

Printed in England by Oxuniprint, Oxford
This book is available direct from Archaeopress or from our website www.archaeopress.com

Table of Contents

Foreword and Acknowledgements	v
I. Approaches to Neolithic and Bronze Age Tell Settlement in the Carpathian Basin	
I.1 Introduction: Stone Age, Bronze Age and Archaeological Perception	3
I.2 Neolithic Tell Settlement in the Carpathian Basin	7
I.2.1 Background and Origins	7
I.2.2 Chronology and Distribution of Late Neolithic Tells	9
I.2.3 Late Neolithic Tell Settlement: The Evidence	11
I.2.3.1 Tells and Settlement Systems	12
I.2.3.2 Tells and Surrounding Settlement	13
I.2.3.3 Fortification, Demarcation and Internal Organisation	16
I.2.3.4 Houses and Life on Tells	18
I.2.4 Late Neolithic Tell Settlement: Interpretation	26
I.2.4.1 Integrative Units and Social Dynamics	26
I.2.4.2 Identity and Social Dynamics	28
I.2.4.3 Late Neolithic/Eneolithic Tells and the Varna ‘Problem’	29
I.3 Bronze Age Tell Settlement in the Carpathian Basin	33
I.3.1 Chronology and Distribution of Bronze Age Tells	33
I.3.2 Bronze Age Tell Settlement: The Evidence	39
I.3.2.1 Tells and Settlement Systems	39
I.3.2.2 Tells and Surrounding Settlement	43
I.3.2.3 Fortification, Demarcation and Internal Organisation	50
I.3.2.4 Houses and Life on Tells	53
I.3.3 Bronze Age Tell Settlement: Interpretation	56
I.3.3.1 Proto-Urban Settlement and the Mediterranean	57
I.3.3.2 Tradition, Demarcation and Political Predominance	58
I.3.3.3 Order, Power and the Organisation of Social Space	60
I.3.3.4 Internal Structure, Crafts and Functional Differentiation	63
I.3.3.5 Centrality and Site Hierarchies	66
II. Europe and the Mediterranean: Dependency or Delusion?	
II.1 ‘Fault Lines’ and the Bronze Age ‘Other’	71
II.2 Homer, Heroes and the Bronze Age	77
II.2.1 Homer and Archaeology: Different Logics and False Expectations	77
II.2.2 Alternative Readings	78
II.2.3 Implications for Archaeology	80
II.3 Bronze Age ‘Centre’ and ‘Periphery’?	83
II.3.1 World Systems in Archaeology	83
II.3.2 Alternative Perspectives on Prehistoric ‘Peripheries’	85
II.3.3 Beyond Neo-Diffusionism: Implications for Bronze Age ‘Barbarian’ Europe	88
II.4 The ‘Emergence of Civilisation’, or just: Contingency and Culture Change in Bronze Age Greece?	92
II.4.1 Early Helladic Lerna: ‘Complexity in the Making’	92
II.4.2 Mycenaean Palaces: ‘Architectures of Power’	105
II.4.3 Greece After the Mycenaean Palaces – Decline or Difference?	122
III. Epilogue	
III.1 Exploring Divergent Trajectories in Bronze Age Europe	133
References	135

List of Figures

Fig. I-1: Çatal Höyük. J. Mellaart's reconstruction of the interior of house VI.A.8 (after Cutting 2007: fig. on p. 134).	8
Fig. I-2: Chronology of the Neolithic and Early to Middle Eneolithic/Copper Age of the Carpathian Basin and south-eastern Europe (after Parkinson 2006: 57 fig. 4.4).	8
Fig. I-3: Distribution of Late Neolithic tell and tell-like settlements in the Carpathian Basin (after Link 2006: 12 fig. 6).	9
Fig. I-4: Vinča-Belo Brdo; Vinča culture. Southern and western part of trench P/1932–34, cleaned in 1978 (after Borić 2009: 230 fig. 44).	10
Fig. I-5: Map indicating the northern boundary of tell sites of the Tisza culture and the dense pattern of tell sites of the neighbouring Herpály group (after Raczký 1995: 78 fig. 1).	12
Fig. I-6: Öcsöd-Kováshalom; Tisza culture. Settlement nuclei covering an area of at least 3 to 5 ha (after Raczký 1987b: 62 fig. 1).	14
Fig. I-7: Okolište; Butmir group. Phases and development of the site (after Hofmann 2012: 190 fig. 8).	15
Fig. I-8: Uivar-Gomila; Vinča culture. Magnetometer plan of the tell and outer settlement (after Draşovean/Schier 2010: 175 fig. 15).	15
Fig. I-9: Polgár-Csőszhalom; Csőszhalom group. Tell site with a system of multiple concentric ditches and large horizontal settlement (after Raczký/Anders 2010: 145 fig. 2).	17
Fig. I-10: Öcsöd-Kováshalom; Tisza culture. Reconstruction of residential foci enclosed by fences (after Raczký 1987b: 66 fig. 5).	18
Fig. I-11: Parţa; Vinča culture. Habitation levels 7a and 7b; initial pairs of houses and increasingly denser occupation with clusters of houses in subsequent phases (after Draşovean/Schier 2010: 168 figs. 4 and 5).	19
Fig. I-12: Okolište. Butmir group. Magnetometer plan of the site and its ditches indicating parallel orientation of the houses on the tell (after Müller <i>et al.</i> 2011: 83 fig. 3).	20
Fig. I-13: Pietrele; KGK VI complex. Interpretation of the magnetometer data indicating parallel orientation of houses on the fortified tell and in the surrounding open settlement (after Reingruber 2011: 45 fig. 3).	20
Fig. I-14: Berettyóújfalu-Herpály; Herpály culture. Densely packed houses arranged into broadly parallel order during earlier phases of this settlement (after Kalicz/Raczký 1987b: 109 fig. 6; Kalicz 1995: 73 fig. 4).	21
Fig. I-15: Poljanica. Phase III of the Eneolithic tell site in north-eastern Bulgaria (after Todorova 1982: 210 fig. 163).	22
Fig. I-16: Hódmezővásárhely-Gorzsa; Tisza culture. Plan and reconstruction of house complex 2 (after Horváth 1987: 34 fig. 3, 35 fig. 6).	23
Fig. I-17: Parţa; Vinča culture. Reconstruction of 'sanctuary' 1 (after Draşovean/Schier 2010: 169 fig. 6).	24
Fig. I-18: Gomolava; Vinča culture. Buildings, intramural burial ground and close-up of the adult male burial no. 12 (after Borić 2009: 221 fig. 34, 223 fig. 36).	25
Fig. I-19: Relative chronology and culture groups of the Early and Middle Bronze Age of the Carpathian Basin (Hungarian terminology; after David 2002: 34 fig. 2.8).	34
Fig. I-20: Comparison of the distribution of Late Neolithic (continuous line) and Bronze Age (broken line) tell sites in the Carpathian Basin (after Anders <i>et al.</i> 2010: 148 fig. 1).	35
Fig. I-21: Distribution of Bronze Age tell and tell-like settlements in the Carpathian Basin (after Gogâltan 2008a: 41 fig. 1).	35
Fig. I-22: Otomani II period settlements on the Carei plain in north-western Romania; hypothetical political territories (after Némethi/Molnár 2012: 45 fig. 53).	40
Fig. I-23: Distribution of Hatvan period settlements and Füzesabony period settlements and cemeteries on the Hungarian Borsod plain and along the foothill zone of the Bükk mountains (after Fischl/Kienlin/Seres 2012: 24 fig. 1).	41
Fig. I-24: Comparison of Early and Middle Bronze Age settlement patterns in the Hungarian Benta valley (after Earle/Kolb 2010: 73 fig. 3.3, 75 fig. 3.4).	42
Fig. I-25: Middle Bronze Age settlement dynamics on the Titel plateau and the concentration of settlement activities on the 'central' tell site of Moşorin-Feudvar (after Falkenstein 1998: 266 figs. 235 and 236).	43
Fig. I-26: Alcsútdoboz-Göböljárás-Pogányvár (bottom) and Beloianisz/Ercsi-Bolondvár (top); Vátya culture (after Szeverényi/Kulcsár 2012: 299 fig. 6, 302 fig. 9).	45
Fig. I-27: Tard-Tatárdomb; Hatvan and Füzesabony culture. Greyscale plot and interpretation of the magnetometer data of the central part of the site and part of the outer settlement (after Fischl <i>et al.</i> 2014: 347 figs. 6 and 7).	46
Fig. I-28: Vráble-Fidvár; Hatvan culture. Interpretation of the magnetometer data indicating several phases of occupation and fortification; note the possibility of a separate storage area located between adjacent groups of houses in the outer part of the settlement (after Earle/Kristiansen 2010a: pl. 8.1).	47
Fig. I-29: Andrid-Dealul Taurilor/Bika domb in the Romanian Ier valley; Otomani culture. Magnetometer plan showing settlement activity on top of the older ditch and aerial photograph of the tell-like settlement (after Marta <i>et al.</i> 2010: 126 fig. 6, 127 fig. 7).	48
Fig. I-30: Moşorin-Feudvar; Vatin culture. Fortified tell settlement and outside open settlement (after Hänsel 2002: 82 fig. 11).	49
Fig. I-31: Otomani-Cetăţuia; Otomani culture. 1: Massive ditches surrounding the central part of the Bronze Age tell settlement (after Bader 1982: 49 fig. 2).	50
Fig. I-32: Moşorin-Feudvar; Vatin culture. Tightly packed houses arranged in parallel order (after Hänsel/Medović 1991: 69 fig. 7).	51
Fig. I-33: Füzesabony-Öregdomb; Otomani-Füzesabony culture. Tightly packed houses arranged in parallel order (after Szathmári 1992: 135 fig. 92).	52

Fig. I-34: Košice-Barca; Otomani-Füzesabony culture. Tightly packed houses arranged in parallel order (after Gašaj 2002a: 20 fig. 3).	52
Fig. I-35: Tiszaug-Kéménység; Nagyrév culture. Spatially separated group of houses (after Csányi/Stanczik 1992: 115 fig. 75).	53
Fig. I-36: Túrkeve-Terehalom; Otomani-Füzesabony culture. Reconstruction of an elongated multi-room house (Csányi/Tárnoki 1992: 160 fig. 114).	54
Fig. I-37: Mošorin-Feudvar; Vatin culture. Reconstruction of Middle Bronze Age houses (after Hänsel/Medović 1991: 77 fig. 11).	55
Fig. I-38: Spišský Štvrtok; Otomani-Füzesabony culture. Suggested evidence for functional differentiation and stone-built fortification (after Jockenhövel 1990: 216 fig. 4; Gašaj 2002b: 36 fig. 6).	59
Fig. I-39: Dunaújváros-Kosziderpadlás; Vatia culture. Hoard III (after Bóna 1992c: 151 fig. 107).	61
Fig. I-40: Nitriansky Hrádok; Mad'arovce culture. Reconstruction of the Bronze Age settlement (after Točík 1981: plan 76).	62
Fig. I-41: Százhalombatta-Földvár; Vatia culture. Reconstruction of the Middle Bronze Age settlement (after Earle/Kristiansen 2010a: pl. 8.2).	62
Fig. I-42: Mošorin-Feudvar; Vatin culture. Plan of the Early Bronze Age workshop in trench E (after Hänsel/Medović 2004: 89 fig. 2).	64
Fig. I-43: Mošorin-Feudvar; Vatin culture. Remains of metalworking activities from the Early Bronze Age workshop: a) mould, b) broken moulds for cire perdue casting, c) cores, d) grindstone; after Hänsel/Medović 2004: 104 fig. 7.1, 106 fig. 9.17/18, 107 fig. 10.1, 108 fig. 11.9/10).	65
Fig. II-1: 'Fault line' in the traditional cross-dating synchronisms between Europe and the Mediterranean (after Renfrew 1970a: 289 fig. 3b, 291 fig. 4).	71
Fig. II-2: 'Isochronic map' indicating the existence of several independent core areas of early metallurgy in Europe and the Near East (after Renfrew 1970a: 307 fig. 10).	72
Fig. II-3: The spread of the use of animal traction from the Near East to Europe during the 4th millennium BC as part of the 'Secondary Products Revolution' (after A. Sherratt 1997a: 18 fig. 0.5).	73
Fig. II-4: Bronze Age long-distance trade and fortified settlement in the Carpathian Basin (after A. Sherratt 1993a: 27 fig. 7).	74
Fig. II-5: The routes of Baltic amber to Mycenaean Greece (after Czebreszuk 2013: 561 fig. 5).	74
Fig. II-6: Territory assigned to the palace of Nestor by Homer (pointed line) and territory of the Mycenaean palace at Pylos and its subdivision as derived from Linear B tablets (shaded grey) (after Eder 2003: 299 fig. 1).	80
Fig. II-7: The House of the Tiles at Lerna. General view during excavation (after Wiencke 2000: 214 fig. I.49).	93
Fig. II-8: Plan of the 'Weisses Haus' at Kolonna on Aegina (after Felten 1986: 22 fig. 9).	93
Fig. II-9: Plan of the Fortified Building at Thebes (after Aravantinos 1986: 58 fig. 53).	94
Fig. II-10: Lerna III, late phase C with the corridor house Building BG (after Wiencke 2000: 16 plan 7).	96
Fig. II-11: Lerna III, phases C/D and D with the House of the Tiles (after Wiencke 2000: 17 plan 8).	97
Fig. II-12: The House of the Tiles at Lerna. Ground floor (a) and suggested reconstructions of the second storey (after Shaw 1987: 62 fig. 3).	98
Fig. II-13: Reconstruction of the House of the Tiles (after Shaw 1987: 64 fig. 5; Peperaki 2004: 221 fig. 12.4).	99
Fig. II-14: Plan of Grave Circle A at Mycenae (after Marinatos 1986: 163 fig. 28).	108
Fig. II-15: Grave Circle A at Mycenae (photograph: Leonie C. Koch).	109
Fig. II-16: Plan and section of the 'Treasury of Atreus' at Mycenae (after Marinatos 1986: 165 figs. 31–33).	111
Fig. II-17: The surroundings and lower town of Mycenae with various 'generations' of tholos and chamber tombs interspersed with building complexes (after Siennicka 2010: 73 fig. 2).	112
Fig. II-18: The 'Cyclopean' fortification of Tiryns with the West Staircase (photograph: Leonie C. Koch).	113
Fig. II-19: The megaron of the Mycenaean palace at Pylos with storage facilities and the central throne room (after Panagiotopoulos 2008: fig. on page 32).	114
Fig. II-20: Plan of the Mycenaean palace at Pylos in Messenia (after Dickinson 1994: 156 fig. 5.31).	114
Fig. II-21: Plan of the Mycenaean palace at Tiryns in the Argolid (after Dickinson 1994: 155 fig. 5.30).	115
Fig. II-22: Plan of the palace at Mycenae in the Argolid (after Dickinson 1994: 154 fig. 5.29).	116
Fig. II-23: The building complex of the West House Group in the lower town of Mycenae (after Siennicka 2010: 80 fig. 6).	117
Fig. II-24: The Upper Citadel of the Mycenaean palace at Tiryns. Circles indicating 'liminal' points used to control access and increase exclusivity as one moves towards the central megaron (after Maran 2012a: 151 fig. 1).	120
Fig. II-25: Plan of the Late Helladic IIIC Building T in place of the previous central megaron on the acropolis at Tiryns (after Maran 2000: 2 fig. 1).	124
Fig. II-26: Reconstruction of the Late Helladic IIIC Building T on the acropolis at Tiryns (after Maran 2012a: 160 fig. 6).	125
Fig. II-27: Plan of the Tomba building with the 'hero' burial and the adjacent later cemetery at Lefkandi on Euboea (after I. Morris 2000: 220 fig. 6.5).	127
Fig. II-28: Reconstruction of the Tomba apsidal building at Lefkandi (after I. Morris 2000: 223 fig. 6.8).	128